



SOUTH AUSTRALIA
State Bushfire
Management Plan
2021-2025



Government
of South Australia

The State Bushfire Coordination Committee acknowledges traditional owners in South Australia and pays respects to Elders past and present and extends that respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people throughout the State.

Copyright © Government of South Australia 2021

Unless otherwise noted, copyright (and any other intellectual property rights) in this publication is owned by the Government of South Australia. The State Bushfire Coordination Committee has taken reasonable steps to identify material owned by third parties and secured permission for its reproduction.

Printed May 2021

Cover photo

Morialta Conservation Park Prescribed Burn 2007, *Ashley Hosking*

This publication may be cited as

Government of South Australia 2021, State Bushfire Management Plan.

Disclaimer

The State Bushfire Coordination Committee, acting on behalf of the Government of South Australia, has exercised due care and skill in preparing and compiling the information and data in this publication. Notwithstanding, the Government of South Australia, its employees and advisors disclaim any liability, including liability and negligence and any loss, damage, injury, expense or cost incurred by any person as a result of accessing, using or relying on any of the information or data contained in this publication to the maximum extent permitted by law.

Acknowledgements

The State Bushfire Coordination Committee thanks all community members, government agencies, non-government organisations and industry groups for their input into the development of this Plan.

Design and layout by Sarah Lelliott Design

Printed by Openbook Howden

FOREWORD

Fire is a natural and integral part of the Australian landscape and environment. As we know South Australia is one of the most bushfire prone areas in the world. Almost every South Australian community has been touched in some way by fire over many decades.

Each year thousands of bushfires burn across our vast state. While prescribed burns are planned for hazard reduction purposes, land management and ecological objectives, many are unplanned and unintended. Most of these fires are contained and extinguished before they cause significant damage.

The changing climate is increasing the frequency of extreme fire weather and the scale and impact of bushfire events. The CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology 'State of the Climate Report 2020' identified that *"there has been an increase in extreme fire weather, and in the length of the fire season, across large parts of Australia since the 1950s, especially in southern Australia"*.

Severe fire weather events can enable bushfires to escalate to a size and ferocity that challenge and go beyond fire suppression capacity and capability. Such events pose a serious threat to life and property, as well as to native species and ecosystems. As in other Australian states, our experience in South Australia in the 2019-20 'Black Summer' season was of large scale bushfires that had far reaching impacts on economies, communities and the natural environment in a number of our regions. While their recovery is making good progress, lessons from similar events in the past tell us that it will be an ongoing process to rebuild from disasters of this magnitude.

The South Australian Government is addressing these existential and landscape risks with significant investment in a range of initiatives designed to improve community and State resilience to future bushfire events. These include increased resourcing for and emphasis on developing understanding of existing risks, and ensuring appropriate planning and preparedness arrangements. This approach recognises that the actions we take before a disaster are critical to reducing risks and impacts, and to supporting more rapid recovery.

The State Bushfire Management Plan is a key part of building those foundations for improved coordination and collaboration across government, and non-government organisations and with the community, and improving understanding of the shared responsibility we all have to manage bushfire risk in South Australia.

Hon. Vincent Tarzia

Minister for Police, Emergency Services and Correctional Services



INTRODUCTION FROM THE CHAIR

In the wake of the 2019-20 'Black Summer' bushfire events in South Australia, the Government's response to the *Independent Review into South Australia's 2019-20 Bushfire Season* identified the need for key reforms to the bushfire management planning framework and its implementation, including the development of this State Bushfire Management Plan.

This is the first State-level Plan for management of bushfire risk to be implemented in South Australia.

It provides a roadmap supporting the delivery of reforms contained in the Government's Response to the *Independent Review* that are the responsibility of the State Bushfire Coordination Committee (SBCC).

We are building the foundations for effective implementation of the bushfire management framework established under the *Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005* (SA), as the *Independent Review* found that the "2009 amendments to the [Act] introducing a bushfire management framework for prevention and mitigation have not been fully implemented".

To commence that process, the key actions for the SBCC in the life of this Plan are to:

- Undertake analysis of key existential risks to the State from bushfire, to support understanding of the often deep and long-lasting impacts of bushfires on communities, economies and the environment;
- Review governance arrangements for the SBCC and Bushfire Management Committees (BMCs), including the development of an implementation assurance and reporting framework, and
- Develop the second iteration of Bushfire Management Area Plans, incorporating consistent risk management frameworks across fire and land management agencies for the management of bushfire risk in the landscape, addressing integration of environmental approvals process, and incorporating risk treatment reporting to capture implementation activities.

It is vital to record the delivery of actions undertaken to manage the risk of bushfire, not least so we can celebrate our successes and saves, as well as highlighting where resourcing needs to be directed.

Exploring the relationship between the bushfire management and emergency management frameworks in South Australia is also a key action for the SBCC during the life of this Plan. This reflects the need for the Plan to address bushfire risk across the Prevention, Preparedness, Response and Recovery spectrum, and achieve alignment with strategies and policies supporting risk reduction and disaster resilience.

While the CFS does a great job as hazard leader protecting South Australians, we all have a part to play in managing the risk.

The SBCC's role in encouraging collaboration among agencies and organisations with responsibilities for bushfire management is also captured in this Plan through a wide range of 'coordination actions'. In developing this Plan SBCC member agencies and organisations have highlighted the need for greater clarity regarding their responsibilities in bushfire management, and the identification of the 'coordination actions' is the first step in this process.

It is important to note that this Plan provides strategic level guidance for bushfire management processes, and is not designed to inform specific landholder or community actions.

This Plan will be reviewed in 2025 to validate its principles, objectives and strategies as required under legislation. Being the first Plan of its type, the SBCC will undertake a mid-term review after the first two years, to assess its progress and effectiveness.

Mark Jones QFSM

Chairman, State Bushfire Coordination Committee

BUSHFIRE MANAGEMENT

In this Plan, ‘bushfire management’ refers to the management of bushfire risk across the Prevention, Preparedness, Response and Recovery spectrum:

All those activities directed to prevention, detection, damage mitigation, and suppression of bushfires. Includes bushfire legislation, policy, administration, law enforcement, community education, training of fire fighters, planning, communications systems, equipment, research, and the multitude of field operations undertaken by land managers and emergency services personnel relating to bushfire control. (AFAC/AIDR)




Figure 1: The PPRR Spectrum - from the State Emergency Management Plan: SEMP Overview, March 2019, Version 1.2.

CONTENTS

Foreword	i	Risk Treatment	21
Introduction from the Chair	iii	Introduction	21
Purpose and Introduction	2	Prevention	22
Role of the State Bushfire Coordination Committee	2	SBCC Actions	22
Scope of the State Bushfire Management Plan	4	Coordination Actions	24
Reform Context	6	Preparedness	26
Vision	7	SBCC Actions	26
Mission	7	Coordination Actions	28
Objectives and SBCC Actions	8	Response	31
Priorities for bushfire risk management:	9	Coordination Actions	33
Strategic Alignment	9	Recovery	34
Reporting and Review	9	Coordination Actions	36
Legislation and Governance	10	Reporting and Review	37
Legislation	10	Reporting	37
Governance	10	Review and Continuous Improvement	37
State Bushfire Coordination Committee Assurance Role	12	Glossary	39
Relationship with Emergency Management Framework	13	References	44
Environmental Approvals Processes	14	Attachments	45
Planning Approvals Process	15	Attachment 1 - Legislation Summary	45
Bushfire Risk in South Australia	16	Attachment 2 - SBCC and BMC Legislative and Policy Overview	47
Risk Assessment Framework	16	Attachment 3 – Planning and Development Assessment Reforms	51
State-Level Risk Assessment	17	Appendix	54
		BMAP Handbook	55

PURPOSE AND INTRODUCTION

This State Bushfire Management Plan (the SBMP) has been prepared by the State Bushfire Coordination Committee (SBCC) for South Australia in accordance with the requirements of the *Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005* (SA) ('the FES Act').

Role of the State Bushfire Coordination Committee

The SBCC is a State-level South Australian Government Committee made up of representatives and nominees of fire and land management and allied agencies and representative organisations¹, with executive support provided by the South Australian Country Fire Service (SACFS), as listed below:

- SA Country Fire Service - Representative
- SA Metropolitan Fire Service - Representative
- Department for Environment and Water - Representative
- SA Water - Representative
- Forestry SA - Representative
- Native Vegetation Council - Nominee
- Local Government Association - Nominee
- Outback Communities Authority - Nominee
- SA Police - Representative
- Department for Infrastructure and Transport - Representative
- SA Power Networks - Representative
- Department of Primary Industries and Regions - Representative
- Attorney-Generals' Department – Planning and Land Use Services - Representative
- Bureau of Meteorology - Nominee
- CFS Volunteers Association - Nominee
- Primary Producers SA - Nominee
- Conservation Council SA - Nominee.

The SBCC is tasked under the *FES Act* (s.71A) with the following functions:

- **Coordination** and integration among fire and land managers, allied agencies and representative organisations to manage bushfire risk.
'Coordination' in this context is focused on enabling and facilitating partnerships among individuals and organisations with responsibilities for bushfire

management – promoting shared responsibility.

The SBCC cannot direct agencies to undertake activities or adopt policy. Instead, the Committee brings together key stakeholders in bushfire management at a State level to share information and encourage collaboration in a formal setting. Bushfire Management Committees also have this function at a regional level throughout the State.

- **Oversight, guidance and assurance** at a state level regarding bushfire management and of Bushfire Management Committee (BMC) processes and activities, including the preparation and implementation of Bushfire Management Area Plans (BMAPs) for all areas of the State at risk from bushfire.

The SBCC has the direct responsibility for:

- *the development and implementation of the SBMP,*
- *the development of standards governing the development and implementation of BMAPs as an integral part of the SBMP, and*
- *overseeing the implementation of the SBMP, and reporting to the Minister on any failure or delay in this process.*

The SBCC is required to report annually through the Minister to the Parliament on its activities.

- **Promoting public awareness** of bushfire risk and management, and bringing the community with us on the journey to build understanding of risk and resilience.

Community engagement in bushfire management occurs through the Bushfire Management Area Planning process, which occurs under the auspices of the SBCC and BMCs. While the SBCC may convene public forums, it will generally delegate activities supporting public awareness of bushfire risk and management to the Hazard Leader, the South Australian Country Fire Service (SACFS). However, it is the role of all agencies with responsibilities for aspects of bushfire management to promote public awareness of bushfire risk within their area of responsibility.

Bushfire management in South Australia is governed through a two-tiered structure established under the *FES Act*: at the State level through the SBCC, and across nine Bushfire Management Areas through Bushfire Management Committees (BMCs). Both levels of Committees include representatives of fire and land management and allied agencies and representative organisations.

¹ 'Allied agencies' – agencies that do not necessarily have direct land management responsibilities but have responsibilities to manage bushfire risk; 'Representative organisations' – organisations with an identified interest in bushfire management who do not have regulatory responsibilities for the implementation or oversight of bushfire management activities.




Figure 2 / SBCC and SEMP Structures

Scope of the State Bushfire Management Plan

The SBCC is required under s.73 of the *FES Act* to prepare a SBMP to support:

- **Identification of major bushfire risks** in South Australia, with a focus on understanding existential risks from bushfire at the strategic level;
- **Appropriate levels of hazard reduction;**
- **Strategic coordination** of bushfire management activities among fire and land managers, allied agencies and representative organisations across the Prevention, Preparedness, Response and Recovery (PPRR) spectrum; and,
- **Requirements for preparation and implementation of Bushfire Management Area Plans**, which provide for the management of landscape risk at the regional scale.

The Plan sets out:

- Legislation and governance arrangements for bushfire management in South Australia;
- The context for bushfire risk in the State and the initial scope for a state-level bushfire risk assessment, focusing on existential risks, and the relationship between these high-level strategic risks and landscape risk;
- Coordination actions treating bushfire risk across the PPRR spectrum;

- Reporting and Review processes for the SBCC against the SBMP; and
- Includes the Bushfire Management Area Plan Handbook as part of the Plan itself, reflecting the requirement of s.73(4)(c).

The actions in this Plan are divided into two groups, being:

- **‘SBCC Actions’** – these are actions that are the direct responsibility of the SBCC to coordinate.
It is envisaged that annual works plans will be developed to support implementation of the SBCC Actions.
- **‘Coordination Actions’** – these include existing and ongoing actions, as well as new actions resulting from the Government’s Response to the *Independent Review*, or other reforms, being undertaken by SBCC Members, allied agencies and representative organisations.

It is important to note that the SBCC has no authority to direct the implementation of these coordination actions, but it does have a function in supporting their coordination through encouraging information sharing and collaboration among the agencies responsible for their delivery.





Prevention

All activities concerned with minimising the occurrence of incidents, particularly those of human origin.

Regulatory and physical measures* to ensure that emergencies are prevented, or their effects mitigated.

*Measures to eliminate or reduce the incidence or severity of emergencies.



Preparedness

All activities undertaken in advance of the occurrence of an incident to decrease the impact, extent and severity of the incident and to ensure more effective response activities.

Arrangements to ensure that, should an emergency occur, all those resources and services which are needed to cope with the effects can be efficiently mobilised and deployed.

Measures to ensure that, should an emergency occur, communities, resources and services are capable of coping with the effects.



Response

Actions taken in anticipation of, during, and immediately after an emergency to ensure that its effects are minimised, and that people affected are given immediate relief and support.

Measures taken in anticipation of, during and immediately after an emergency to ensure its effects are minimised.



Recovery

The restoring or improving of livelihoods and health, as well as economic, physical, social, cultural and environmental assets, systems and activities, of a disaster affected community or society, aligning with the principles of sustainable development and “build back better”, to avoid or reduce future disaster risk (UNDRR).

Figure 3 / Australian Institute of Disaster Resilience (AIDR) and United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNDRR) definitions of Prevention, Preparedness, Response and Recovery (PPRR)

Reform Context

The development of this Plan is a direct result of the South Australian Government's response ('Government's Response') to the *Independent Review of South Australia's 2019-20 Bushfire Season* ('the *Independent Review*'), commissioned following the 2019-2020 'Black Summer' fire season, which saw major bushfires significantly impact Kangaroo Island, the Adelaide Hills, Yorke Peninsula, the South East and Eyre Peninsula.

The Government's response to the *Independent Review* includes a number of actions which fall within the legislative responsibilities of the SBCC, and these are captured as key actions for the life of this Plan. Significant reforms are captured in these actions and the SBCC will need to engage relevant stakeholders and undertake appropriate consultation as part of their development and implementation.

A number of further actions are identified in the Government's Response that are of direct relevance to the SBCC's coordination function, and these have been identified in the Plan as 'coordination actions', alongside existing ongoing activities undertaken by agencies and organisations with bushfire management responsibilities.

The SBCC has no authority to direct the implementation of these actions, but it does have a function to support their coordination through encouraging information sharing and collaboration among the agencies responsible for their delivery.

As this Plan has been prepared, the South Australian Government has been developing its response to the report and recommendations of the **Royal Commission into National Natural Disaster Arrangements** ('the Royal Commission'), and in due course the SBCC will need to consider the implications of that response for activities within its area of responsibility.

The 2019-20 Black Summer bushfire season, and the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, alongside prolonged drought and significant flood, storm and heatwave events, means that emergency management is a key focus of ongoing Government and community activity at all levels. An increased focus on preparedness and building resilience to better manage future emergencies is captured in key policy documents and proposed actions at the international, national and state levels, and this Plan seeks to align with the principles of those approaches.




Figure 4 / Guiding principles for building disaster resilience

SOUTH AUSTRALIA'S STATE BUSHFIRE MANAGEMENT PLAN 2021-2025

Vision

Communities, individuals and agencies working together to deliver coordinated management of bushfire risk in South Australia.

Mission

To build a safer, better prepared and more bushfire resilient South Australia, by facilitating coordination of bushfire risk management arrangements through developing and reinforcing successful partnerships among fire, land management and allied agencies together with representative organisations; and by engaging and sharing responsibility with the community.



Objectives and SBCC Actions

The following objectives for the SBMP are derived from ss.71A and 73 of the *FES Act*.

The SBCC Actions set out in this Plan, and summarised below, are aligned with these objectives.

Objective	SBCC Actions
To identify major bushfire risks in the State	1.1 During the life of this Plan, best available data and information on key existential risks to South Australia associated with bushfire will be consolidated and analysed to provide a state-level bushfire risk assessment.
To set out strategies for coordination and integration of bushfire management	<p>2.1 Early in the life of this Plan, the SBCC will undertake a review of governance procedures and practices for the SBCC and BMCs.</p> <p>2.2 During the life of this Plan, the SBCC will undertake a review of the structure and composition of the SBCC and BMCs including, but not limited to, consideration of Indigenous Australian and forestry industry representation; and the relationship with the Fire Prevention Strategic Alliance (FPSA) and the Heads of Agencies group (currently comprised of SACFS, DEW, SAW and FSA).</p> <p>2.3 During the life of this Plan, an assurance and reporting framework for the SBCC and BMCs will be developed to ensure that legislative requirements are being met.</p> <p>2.4 Early the life of this Plan, explore the relationship between the SBCC and State Emergency Management Committee (SEMC), and between the SBMP and the State Emergency Management Plan (SEMP). This will include addressing the appropriate location of the Rural Fire Hazard Leader Plan.</p> <p>2.5 During the life of this Plan, the SBCC will identify data gaps and support improved data utilisation to inform State and BMC-level bushfire risk assessments.</p>
To outline principles applying to hazard reduction	3.1 During the life of this Plan, the SBCC will clearly identify environmental approvals processes - including regulation, policy and impact assessment - for hazard reduction activities on all tenures, as part of a wider review of SBCC and BMC governance arrangements, and review of the BMAP Handbook.
To set out standards for BMAPs	<p>4.1 During the life of this Plan, the BMAP Handbook will be reviewed and redeveloped, including review of the risk management process to ensure consistency with ISO 31000 Risk Management (2018), and inclusion of processes for consolidating other agency and organisation plans into BMAPs where they meet this risk management standard. This review will include identification of processes to determine levels of risk acceptance and tolerance, and application of risk treatments.</p> <p>4.2 During the life of this Plan, commence development of BMAP 2.0, incorporating:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a full review and redevelopment of the BMAP Handbook (incorporating the <i>Fire Management Zone Standard</i> and the <i>Standard for the Risk Assessment of Environmental Assets</i>), including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> consistent plan template and risk management process (ISO 31000: 2018); environmental approvals and assessment processes (noting that the Department for Environment and Water (DEW) is currently reviewing the Ecological Fire Management Guidelines); environmental assets as integral to the planning process along with other classes of asset; provision for the assessment and integration of land management and other agency plans that address bushfire risk; critical infrastructure protection planning; integration of risk treatment standards, including zoning, firebreaks, access tracks and codes of practice; redevelopment of risk assessment and management tools, including incorporation of a treatment reporting system to support the alignment of monitoring and reporting on treatment implementation with ISO 31000 (2018); set out the processes for community and stakeholder engagement in the planning process.

Table 1 / Objectives and SBCC Actions



Objective	SBCC Actions
To set out standards for BMAPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BMAP implementation support, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development of a Code of Practice for Private Land Fuel Management (including a consistent approach to notices issued under s.105F of the <i>FES Act</i>); - Improved communications regarding hazard reduction approvals processing; - Expanded joint Department for Environment and Water (DEW) / SACFS programme to support Burning on Private Lands. <p>4.3 As part of the BMAP Handbook review, address the integration of the assessment and management of Bushfire Safer Places and Last Resort Refuges within BMAPs</p>
To promote public awareness of bushfire management	<p>5.1 Maintenance and periodic review of the SACFS state-wide community disaster resilience engagement programme to promote public awareness of and preparedness for the threat of bushfire, together with promotion of bushfire awareness and risk management by SBCC Member agencies and organisations within their area of activity and operation.</p>

Priorities for bushfire risk management

The SBMP priorities for bushfire risk management reflect:

- Primacy of life protection (focusing on community and firefighter safety);
- Protection of all classes of asset at risk from bushfire, namely: human settlement, economic, social value (cultural) and environmental assets;
- Well informed and prepared Government agencies, organisations, businesses and communities;
- Timely transition to recovery operations.

- To Create a Safer and More Bushfire Resilient South Australia: Government of South Australia's response to the Independent Review into South Australia's 2019-2020 Bushfire Season
- Climate Change Action Plan 2021-2025
- Stronger Together – South Australia's Disaster Resilience Strategy 2019-2024
- National Disaster Risk Reduction Framework 2018
- The First National Action Plan – To Implement the National Disaster Risk Reduction Framework 2020
- Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030

Strategic Alignment

The SBMP seeks to support and align with the following:

- *Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005* (SA)
- *Emergency Management Act 2004* (SA)
- State Emergency Management Plan
- State Emergency Management Committee Strategic Plan 2017-2022
- Independent Review into South Australia's 2019-20 Bushfire Season

Reporting and Review

As the first Plan of its type, in addition to annual review following the annual reporting process, there will be a mid-term (two year) review of this four year plan. The mid-term review will consider progress against the Plan's objectives, and identify areas for improvement.

LEGISLATION AND GOVERNANCE

Legislation

The *FES Act* is the key legislation driving bushfire risk management in the State. The *FES Act* provides the mandate for the development of the SBMP, directing that:

the State Bushfire Coordination Committee must prepare and maintain a plan to be called the State Bushfire Management Plan

and stating that the plan must:

set out principles, policies and standards for bushfire management in the State from a high-level or strategic perspective.

The principle of shared responsibility for bushfire prevention and management among private landholders, local government (including out of Council areas)², State Government, and Australian Government landholders is enshrined in the *FES Act* through the requirements for landholders across all tenures to:

- *prevent or inhibit the outbreak of fire on the land;*
- *prevent or inhibit the spread of fire through the land;*
- *protect property on the land from fire; and*
- *minimise the threat to human life from a fire on the land.*

The *FES Act* also provides for the control and suppression of fires.

Listed below is the principal legislation controlling or influencing bushfire related management strategies and actions in South Australia. A table outlining the broad purpose of each Act is provided at *Attachment 1* to this Plan. Relevant Regulations are also noted in the table.

- *Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 (SA)*
- *Emergency Management Act 2004 (SA)*
- *Native Vegetation Act 1991 (SA)*
- *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth)*
- *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972 (SA)*
- *Landscape South Australia Act 2019 (SA)*
- *Wilderness Protection Act 1992 (SA)*
- *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*
- *Highways Act 1926 (SA)*
- *Arkaroola Protection Act 2012 (SA)*
- *Forestry Act 1950 (SA)*
- *Forest Property Act 2000 (SA)*

- *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988 (SA)*
- *Native Title Act 1993 (Cth)*
- *Native Title (South Australia) Act 1994 (SA)*
- *Crown Land Management Act 2009 (SA)*
- *Outback Communities (Administration and Management) Act 2009 (SA)*
- *Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Land Rights Act 1981 (SA)*
- *Maralinga Tjarutja Land Rights Act 1984 (SA)*
- *Roxby Downs (Indenture Ratification) Act 1982 (SA)*
- *Opal Mining Act 1995 (SA)*
- *Mines and Works Inspection Act 1920 (SA)*
- *Aboriginal Lands Trust Act 2013 (SA)*
- *Pastoral Land Management and Conservation Act 1989 (SA)*
- *Dog Fence Act 1946 (SA)*
- *Defence Act 1903 (Cth)*
- *Defence Legislation Amendment (Woomera Prohibited Area) Act 2014 (Cth)*
- *Mining Act 1971 (SA)*
- *Petroleum and Geothermal Energy Act 2000 (SA)*
- *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 (SA)*

Governance

South Australia's bushfire management framework as established under the *FES Act* is coordinated through a two-tiered Committee structure:

- the State Bushfire Coordination Committee;
- nine Bushfire Management Committees, covering all regions of the State (see Figure 5).

The SBCC is appointed by the Governor of South Australia, with members serving 3-year terms. The Committee is composed of the following member agencies, who represent the State's fire, land management and allied agencies and representative organisations (refer to page 2).

Under the *FES Act* the SACFS is required to provide executive support to the SBCC.

Bushfire Management Committees are responsible for the development and coordinated implementation of Bushfire Management Area Plans and arrangements at the landscape scale.

² Reference to 'local government' in this Plan incorporates organisations and authorities in Out of Council Areas, including the Outback Communities Authority and Aboriginal governance organisations.



Figure 5 / Statewide Bushfire Management Area (BMA) Map.

Tenure	Km ²	%
Public	192,000	19.5%
Private	577,000	58.7%
Aboriginal Lands (APY, ALT, MT)*	214,000	21.8%
Total SA Land Area	983,000	100%

Note: Km² are rounded

Figure 6 / Land Tenure. *APY - Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara, ALT - Aboriginal Lands Trust, MT - Maralinga Tjarutja.



A summary of the legislative requirement and roles and responsibilities of the SBCC and BMCs is attached as *Attachment 2. Guidelines for governance and Committee procedures* for both the SBCC and the BMCs are in place.

Action 2.1

Early in the life of this Plan, the SBCC will undertake a review of governance procedures and practices for the SBCC and BMCs.

Action 2.2

During the life of this Plan, the SBCC will undertake a review of the structure and composition of the SBCC and BMCs including, but not limited to, consideration of Indigenous Australian and forestry industry representation; and the relationship with the Fire Prevention Strategic Alliance (FPSA) and the Heads of Agencies group (currently comprised of SACFS, DEW, SAW and FSA).

State Bushfire Coordination Committee Assurance Role

The *FES Act* requires that the SBCC keep under review the SBMP and the extent to which the BMAPs and the policies, practices and strategies adopted or applied by BMCs are consistent with the SBMP.

As such, the SBCC is required to undertake an assurance role in reporting on the implementation of actions, policies, standards and practices identified in the SBMP and the BMAPs. This is central to demonstrating the accountability of the bushfire management framework through the Minister to the South Australian Parliament and the community.

Action 2.3

During the life of this Plan, an assurance and reporting framework for the SBCC and BMCs will be developed to ensure that legislative requirements can be met.

Relationship with Emergency Management Framework

The Emergency Management Framework (established by the Emergency Management Act and supported by the SEMP) designates SACFS as the Hazard Leader and Control Agency for rural fire in the State. SACFS is responsible for planning, coordinating and integrating policies, practices and strategies relating to bushfire PPRR management activities. The Hazard Leader function requires the agency designated as Hazard Leader to undertake planning to manage the hazard.

Hazard Leader

Coordinates the comprehensive planning process (risk prevention, preparedness, response and recovery) relating to its assigned hazard. (SEMP 2019)

Control Agency

Takes charge of the emergency and provides leadership to all other agencies responding. When an agency attends an emergency but is not in charge they are referred to as a support agency. (SEMP 2019)

In the context of incident response, the SACFS is required to address the '10 Responsibilities of the Control Agency', listed below:

1. Take control of the response to the emergency.
2. Ensure a safe working environment and safe systems of work.
3. Ensure effective liaison, communication and cooperation with all involved.
4. Continually assess the situation, identify risks and share information with all involved.

5. Develop and share plans and strategies that meet the requirements of all persons and agencies responding to the emergency.
6. Implement and monitor an Incident Action Plan.
7. Ensure effective allocation and use of available resources.
8. Ensure the public is adequately informed and warned so as to enhance community resilience.
9. Facilitate the investigation of the emergency and review of response activities.
10. Ensure transition from response to recovery, including the coordinated handover to the state recovery officer or nominated agency. *[In relation to Recovery, the Control Agency responsibility relates to the 'transition to recovery'. The recovery process is managed in accordance with the State Emergency Management Plan through Recovery SA.]* (SEMP Part 2, Version 1.2, 2019: 18)

The Plan seeks to align with the SEMP. The SBCC is the only State-level Committee with responsibility for coordination and management of a specific hazard (bushfire), outside of the SEMC.

Action 2.4

Early in the life of this Plan, explore the relationship between the SBCC and SEMC, and between the SBMP and the SEMP. This will include addressing the appropriate location of the Rural Fire Hazard Leader Plan.

Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005



Emergency Management Act 2004




Figure 7 / Bushfire Management and Emergency Management Frameworks

Data and Information

As identified in the *Independent Review*, there is a need to improve the quality of data as well as data sharing and utilisation arrangements supporting bushfire management across the PPRR spectrum.

Action 2.5

During the life of this Plan, the SBCC will identify data gaps and support improved data utilisation to inform State and BMC-level bushfire risk assessments.


SBCC activities in this area will seek to engage with and complement work undertaken by the Office for Data Analytics within the Department of the Premier and Cabinet.

Environmental Approvals Processes

The need to improve communication about, and integration of environmental approvals for hazard reduction, particularly on private land, was highlighted in the *Independent Review*. The Government's Response to the *Review* included the development of a Code of Practice for Private Land Fuel Management, which is a responsibility of the SBCC.

While the *Native Vegetation Act* provides for the authorisation of risk treatment activities contained within BMAPs, there remains a need to integrate approaches to hazard reduction involving vegetation clearance to improve consistency across BMAPs, and existing clearance guidelines and exemptions. The application of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* processes on private land also needs to be integrated within the BMAP process.

Overview of Environmental Approvals for Bushfire Hazard Reduction in South Australia



Action 3.1

During the life of this Plan, the SBCC will clearly identify environmental approvals processes - including regulation, policy and impact assessment - for hazard reduction activities on all tenures, as part of a wider review of SBCC and BMC governance arrangements, and review of the BMAP Handbook.

Planning Approvals Process

South Australia's planning system is administered through the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016* (the PDI Act).

The Planning and Design Code (the Code) sets out the policies, rules and classifications for the assessment of development proposals. High-level planning principles and goals are set through State Planning Policies, while Regional Plans provide longer-term visions for areas around integrated land use, transport, infrastructure and the public realm.

Hazard (Bushfire Risk) Overlays in the Code provide spatially applied policy measures to mitigate bushfire impact risks when assessing development.

Building assessment is required for certain classes of development in areas prone to bushfire risk. These areas are indicated as Designated Bushfire Prone Areas. Furthermore, certain development types proposed in areas of high bushfire risk may require referral to a prescribed body (the Country Fire Service) for 'Direction'³ under the PDI regulations.

An opportunity exists to explore improved communication and understanding around the development assessment process (both building and planning) relating to bushfire protection measures, and to improve the alignment of the Overlay with BMAP risk assessments.

The PDI Act - Planning System




Figure 9 / Development Assessment Framework

³ While not having the power to refuse a Development Application in its own right, the SACFS has the power of 'Direction' in terms of assessing Development Applications referred to it as part of the assessment against the Planning and Design Code. Schedule 9 (1)(1)(c) *Planning, Development and Infrastructure (General) Regulations 2017* provides the power to the SACFS to direct a relevant authority to refuse a development application. This 'Direction' does not extend to matters contained in the National Construction Code (e.g. Bushfire Attack Levels - BAL), nor can it apply to matters covered by Native Vegetation legislation. SACFS can recommend a BAL and also can recommend desired Native Vegetation clearance, however the relevant planning authority must review the comments and decide what action that they will take.

BUSHFIRE RISK IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA

South Australia's bushfire management framework includes a requirement for identification and management of State (existential) and regional (landscape) level bushfire risks, with the former task resting with this Plan and the latter with BMAPs.

'Existential' risks

Risks which threaten the ongoing existence of communities, industries, cultures, and the natural environment at a local, national, or global scale.

'Landscape' risks

Risks from bushfires impacting human settlement, economic, cultural and environmental assets within a defined geographical area – for this Plan, those areas are Bushfire Management Areas.

Risk Assessment Framework

Assessment of bushfire risk management in South Australia utilises *ISO 31000 (2018) Risk management*, encompassing the principles, framework and process contained in the Standard.

The *Independent Review* found that existing risk assessments in BMAPs are not fully aligned with ISO 31000 (2018), and that there are inconsistencies between risk assessment process used in BMAPs and land management agency fire management plans; and that these plans are not integrated. A key inconsistency between BMAPs and ISO 31000 is the absence of a reporting and monitoring framework for BMAPs.

The Government Response to these findings of the *Independent Review* directs that they be addressed. These actions fall within the responsibility of the SBCC.




Figure 10 / ISO31000 (2018) Risk Management – Principles Framework and Processes




Figure 11 / Change in number of dangerous fire weather days, 1950 – 2020

Action 4.1

During the life of this Plan, the BMAP Handbook will be reviewed and redeveloped, including review of the risk management process to ensure consistency with ISO 31000 (2018), and inclusion of processes for consolidating other agency and organisation plans into BMAPs where they meet this risk management standard. This review will include identification of processes to determine levels of risk acceptance and tolerance, and application of risk treatments.

One of the key principles in risk management is the use of best available information. In order to support the redevelopment of BMAPs, the Government Response to the *Independent Review* has identified an action for SACFS and the Department for Environment and Water to jointly develop a tool for landscape bushfire risk modelling (Government's Response Action 14).

State-Level Risk Assessment

While bushfire is a natural part of the South Australian environment, the frequency and nature of bushfire has changed significantly over the past 50 years, as landscapes have transformed as a result of human activity and natural processes.

Analysis of climatic data tells us that extreme fire weather days are increasing, and that the fire season is getting longer in many areas (see Figure 11). As well as extending the period of bushfire risk, this also reduces opportunities for hazard reduction burning.

South Australia has been affected by a significant number of devastating large scale bushfires that have had major impacts on communities, economies, and environments over many decades (see Figures 12 and 14).

The relationship between bushfire ignition cause (see Figure 13) and the scale of the resulting incident is varied. Although accounting for less than 3% of the ignitions over the last 16 years, many of the largest bushfires over the past 80 years in the state have been the result of lightning strike ignitions.

Selected Significant Bushfires across South Australia 1955-2021






				
Year	Fire	Hectares	Deaths	Cost/losses
2021	Cherry Gardens	2,564ha	-	2 houses, 17 structures, 2 vehicles
2020	Ravine Fire (Kangaroo Island)	211,474ha	2	89 houses, 296 structures, 276 vehicles
2020	Cudlee Creek	23,253ha	1	85 houses, 400 structures, 227 vehicles
2019	Keilira	37,182ha	-	3 houses, 3 structures
2019	Yorke town	-	-	8 homes, 11 structures
2019	Duck Ponds	235ha	-	2 homes, 2 structures
2015	Pinery	82,500ha	2	87 houses, 388 structures, 194 vehicles
2015	Sampson Flat	12,579ha	-	28 houses, 167 structures, 30 vehicles
2014	Bangor	35,000ha	-	5 houses destroyed
2014	Ceduna complex	46,000ha	-	All DEW land
2014	Eden Valley	25,000ha	-	4 houses
2014	Ngarkat	90,000ha	-	-
2014	Billiatt	83,711ha	-	1 house
2009	Port Lincoln	650ha	-	6 houses and 30 sheds destroyed
2007	Kangaroo Island	90,982ha	1	Several dwellings and sheds
2007	Mount Bold	2,000ha	-	1 dwelling, sheds
2005	Wangary	78,000ha	9	\$41 million, 93 houses, 237 sheds
2001	Tulka (Port Lincoln)	14,000ha	-	11 houses destroyed, 10 major damage
1999	Ngarkat (twice)	160,000ha	-	
1983	Ash Wednesday 2	208,000ha	28	3,000 properties destroyed, \$400 million damages*
1980	Ash Wednesday 1	3,770ha	40 injuries	\$34,000,000
1959	Kongorong	28,000ha	1	\$1.5 million damages
1958	Wandilo	2,590ha	8	
1955	Adelaide Hills (Black Sunday)	~40,000ha	2	~\$4 million damages

Figure 12 / Timeline of selected significant fires in South Australia (life and property losses only)

* Figures across Victoria and South Australia

South Australia - Bushfire Incidents by Ignition Cause 2005 - 2021 (%)




Figure 13

In addition to the increase in severe fire weather, greater consequences of significant bushfires in terms of impacts upon life, property and the environment, are being driven by many factors, including:

- expansion of the peri-urban interface and rural living areas on the urban fringe;
- changing land management practices (for example, buffel grass [*Cenchrus ciliaris*] expansion in desert areas, greater vegetation retention due to reduced grazing (due in part to the decline in the national flock/herd and conversion of rural land into hobby blocks), no-till cropping practices);
- expansion of revegetation altering the location and nature of landscape risk, following multi-decadal uptake through Landcare and other natural resource management programs;
- changing irrigation management strategies;
- changing economic priorities and infrastructure developments, including in remote and heavily vegetated locations (for example, holiday homes, ecotourism operations);
- changing community expectations regarding land and emergency management; and
- increasing urbanisation of the population accompanied by a changing skill base, impacting for example,

volunteering in emergency services, and the ability of landholders to manage vegetation and prepare their properties for bushfire risk.

Reviewing the changing profile of bushfires in 2011, Williams *et al* (2011) outlined the characteristics of ‘mega-fires’, commenting that “they are often extraordinary for their size, but they are more accurately defined by their impacts... [with] deep, long-lasting social, economic and environmental consequences” (2011: 3). The 2019-20 Kangaroo Island fires are a recent such example. The increasing complexity in the operating environment for bushfire risk management is challenging past practices. As Williams *et al* suggested, the emergence of ‘mega-fires’ “against the backdrop of global-warming... may be signaling [sic] that many conventional wildfire protection strategies are ‘running out of road’” (Williams *et al* 2011: 2). Current and future bushfire management will need to consider the many contributing factors that have the potential to increase the impacts of bushfire; and demand more sophisticated approaches to management and mitigation.

The interaction of climate change, changing land use practices, and human factors such as those mentioned above, is complex. Both the *Independent Review into South Australia’s 2019-20 Bushfire Season* and the Royal Commission into National Natural Disaster Arrangements (‘the bushfires Royal Commission’) identified the need to




Figure 14 South Australia Fire Frequency 1932 – 2021

improve data sharing and analysis to assist development of risk intelligence (Government of South Australia 2020: 57-59; Royal Commission: 110-133). The need to develop and improve our understanding of both existential and landscape risks from natural disasters is also a key priority in the *First National Action Plan to Implement the National Disaster Risk Reduction Framework* (Commonwealth of Australia 2021).

The purpose of a State-level bushfire risk assessment to support this Plan is to identify existential risks from bushfire, including (but not limited to):

- Climate change (noting the Government's commitment to creating a more bushfire resilient South Australia in its *Climate Change Action Plan 2021-2025*)⁴;
- Impacts on critical infrastructure;
- Impacts on the State's economy (including agriculture, food and wine; forestry plantations; tourism and recreation);
- Impacts on vulnerable groups;
- Impacts on the social fabric of communities; and,

- Impacts on habitats and ecosystems, particularly those containing nationally and state threatened species and/or ecological communities.

Some initial work was undertaken on identifying major state-level risks from bushfire in South Australia by the SACFS and the (now) Department for Environment and Water in 2016. More recent best available data and information, including on climatic changes, and incorporating future predictions, needs to be collated and analysed to support the development and implementation of strategic approaches to treating existential and landscape bushfire risks.

Action 1.1

During the life of this Plan, consolidate and analyse best available data and information on key existential risks to South Australia associated with bushfire, to provide a state-level bushfire risk assessment.

⁴ Government of South Australia 2021, *Climate Change Action Plan 2021 – 2025*; 41.

RISK TREATMENT

Introduction

The Government's Response to the *Independent Review* identified that this Plan needs to provide strategic coordination and guidance across the PPRR spectrum.

This part of the Plan provides an overview of the actions proposed across the PPRR spectrum to support the treatment of bushfire risk in South Australia.

During the development of the Plan, SBCC Members highlighted the need for greater clarity regarding agency responsibilities for bushfire management. The identification of responsibilities is critical to effective governance in emergency management. It is a key principle driving Australia's National Disaster Risk Reduction Framework:

Disaster resilience and risk reduction is a shared responsibility, but often not equally shared. While individuals and communities have their roles to play, they do not control many of the levers needed to reduce some disaster risks. Governments and industry in particular must take coordinated action to reduce disaster risks within their control to limit adverse impacts on communities. More than ever, limiting the impact of disasters now and in the future requires a coordinated effort across and within many areas including land use planning, infrastructure, emergency management, social policy, agriculture, education, health, community development, energy and the environment.

(National Disaster Risk Reduction Framework 2018: 4)

The actions in this Plan are divided into two groups, being:

- **'SBCC Actions'** – these are actions that are the direct responsibility of the SBCC. Many of the key actions that are the direct responsibility of the SBCC have already been outlined in the preceding sections of this report. However, there are some further SBCC actions in this section of the Plan.
- **'Coordination Actions'** – these include existing and ongoing actions, as well as new actions resulting from the Government's Response to the *Independent Review*, or other reforms, being undertaken by SBCC Member and allied agencies.

It is important to note that the SBCC has no authority to direct the implementation of these coordination actions, but it does have a function in supporting their coordination through encouraging information sharing and collaboration among the agencies responsible for their delivery.

The 'Coordination Actions' tables contained in this section of the Plan are intended to commence the process of providing a 'road map' of agency and organisation responsibilities for bushfire risk management activities in South Australia. It is envisaged that these tables will be 'live' documents that capture the changes in activities over time, and provide an ongoing record of bushfire management actions and responsibilities for agencies and organisations.

Each of the actions in the tables notes the existing lead agency, together with existing support agencies (indicated in brackets), as applicable. Again, the allocation of support agencies in particular is likely to be adjusted over time as arrangements and activities change.

Prevention

'Prevention' is defined by the Australian Institute for Disaster Resilience as:

All activities concerned with minimising the occurrence of incidents, particularly those of human origin.

Regulatory and physical measures to ensure that emergencies are prevented, or their effects mitigated.

Measures to eliminate or reduce the incidence or severity of emergencies. (AIDR 2021)

While not all bushfires can be prevented, mitigation activities can be undertaken to reduce the likelihood, vulnerability, and consequences.

Prevention is a shared responsibility. All levels of government, the community, and individuals have a legislative responsibility and a duty of care to take action to prevent bushfires. It is the responsibility of the SBCC to establish and oversee the process of developing and implementing BMAPs as the primary planning tool in South Australia for coordinating bushfire prevention activities.

As noted above, BMAPs currently provide an assessment of bushfire risk in each region of the State, and recommend treatment strategies in their areas of the landscape, with a strong emphasis on prevention activities. BMAPs are based upon the international standard for risk management (ISO 31000:2018 Risk management).

The *FES Act* (s.73A(3)) requires BMAPs to:

- identify existing or potential risks to people and communities from bushfire within Bushfire Management Areas (BMAs); and
- outline strategies to achieve appropriate hazard reduction associated with bushfire management within BMAs, especially through a coordinated and cooperative approach to bushfire prevention and mitigation; and
- identify action that should be taken by persons, agencies and authorities to achieve appropriate standards of bushfire management within BMAs; and
- establish or adopt principles and standards to guide or measure the successful implementation of bushfire management strategies and initiatives; and
- include or address other matters prescribed by the regulations as specified by the State Bushfire Coordination Committee.

BMAPs are in place for each BMA throughout South Australia. However, the *Independent Review* identified a number of areas for improvement in the bushfire management planning process, and the Government's Response to these findings are key actions for the SBCC in the life of this Plan.

SBCC Actions

The Government's Response to the *Independent Review* includes a number of actions (Actions 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, and 29) to be undertaken to support the development of the next iteration of BMAPs (noting the legislative requirement for these plans to be reviewed every 4 years, in any event), referred to as 'BMAP 2.0'.

Action 4.2

During the life of this Plan, commence development of BMAP 2.0, incorporating:

- a full review and redevelopment of the BMAP Handbook (incorporating the *Fire Management Zone Standard* and the *Standard for the Risk Assessment of Environmental Assets*), including:
 - consistent plan template and risk management process (ISO 31000: 2018);
 - environmental approvals and assessment processes (noting that the Department for Environment and Water (DEW) is currently reviewing the Ecological Fire Management Guidelines);
 - environmental assets as integral to the planning process along with other classes of asset;
 - provision for the assessment and integration of land management and other agency plans that address bushfire risk;
 - critical infrastructure protection planning;
 - integration of risk treatment standards, including zoning, firebreaks, access tracks and codes of practice;
 - redevelopment of risk assessment and management tools, including incorporation of a treatment reporting system to support the alignment of monitoring and reporting on treatment implementation with ISO 31000 (2018);
 - set out the processes for community and stakeholder engagement in the planning process.

An aerial photograph of a landscape showing the aftermath of a bushfire. A winding creek flows through the center of the image, surrounded by dense green vegetation. The ground is a mix of green grass and brown, scorched earth, with some areas showing charred tree trunks and debris. The text is overlaid on the top right of the image.

**BUSHFIRE
PREVENTION
IS A SHARED
RESPONSIBILITY.**



Coordination Actions – Prevention

SBCC Members undertake a range of activities supporting bushfire prevention. The following activities will continue, together with new activities as mandated by the Government's Response to the *Independent Review* or other new activities, as noted in the table below. (Note that where the actions are mandated in the Government's Response to the *Independent Review*, these are annotated as '(Government's Response Action #)'). Support agencies are indicated in brackets following the lead agency/agencies.

Activity	Actions	Description/Linkages	Lead (Support) Agency/Organisation
Arson prevention and management	Operation NOMAD	Ongoing delivery of Operation NOMAD	SAPOL
High Risk Activities	Provide additional public education on high risk activities during periods of high fire danger	(Government's Response Action 22) <i>What can I do/What can't I do?</i> <i>Why risk it?</i>	SACFS, (SAPOL, Local government)
		Primary producer awareness and practice initiatives such as codes of practice for harvesting.	(PPSA/PIRSA)
Planning and Development Assessment Reforms	<i>PDI Act Reforms</i>	<i>South Australia's planning system covering the entire state</i> (Plan SA) (see Attachment 3 to this Plan for further information on the reform process)	AGD-PLUS
Development assessment in areas of potential bushfire hazard risk (as identified in spatial layers contained in the Planning and Design Code i.e. Hazard Overlays)	<i>Planning Development & Infrastructure Act 2016</i>	Updates to the Planning and Design Code including hazard overlays as part of future Code Amendments will be aligned with high level objectives of the State Planning Policies including SPP 15: Natural Hazards - To build the resilience of communities, development and infrastructure from the adverse impacts of national hazards.	AGD-PLUS, (SACFS)
Issuing of Permits to Burn	Issuing of Permits to Burn during the Fire Danger Season, by Authorised Officers	All fires in the open during the Fire Danger Season need to be in accordance with a <i>Permit to Burn</i> .	Local Government, SACFS
Hazard reduction compliance	Annual inspections and compliance notifications issued under <i>FES Act</i> s.105F	Note that development of a Code of Practice for Private Land Fuel Management, as mandated by the Government's Response to the <i>Independent Review</i> (Government's Response Action 17) will include common standards for s.105F notices.	Local government, (SACFS)
Native Vegetation Approvals Process Communication	Communication and information provision regarding native vegetation clearance processes	Provision of information relating to <i>native vegetation clearance approvals</i> processes for fuel hazard reduction (Government's Response Action 15) internally within agencies as well as to the community	SACFS, (Local government, SAMFS, NVC)

Activity	Actions	Description/Linkages	Lead (Support) Agency/Organisation
Public Land Management Communication	Communication and information provision regarding the role of public land management in hazard reduction	Communicate limit of responsibilities of public land managers	SACFS, (DEW, SAW, FSA, Local government)
Hazard (fuel) reduction – public land	Prescribed burning	DEW, FSA and SAW prescribed burning programmes	DEW, SAW, FSA, (SACFS, Local government)
Hazard (fuel) reduction – private land	Prescribed burning	DEW/SACFS Burning on Private Land Programme	SACFS (Public land managers, Local government, private landholders)
Hazard (fuel) reduction	Non-burn Fuel management – public land	Treatments may include slashing, mulching, clearing, thinning, grazing and earthworks to reduce the overall fuel hazard. They may be used as an individual treatment or in conjunction with prescribed burning.	Public land managers
Hazard (fuel) reduction	Non-burn Fuel management – private land	Treatments may include <i>slashing, mulching, clearing, thinning, grazing</i> and earthworks to reduce the overall fuel hazard. They may be used as an individual treatment or in conjunction with prescribed burning. Note: Native vegetation clearance approvals may be required.	Private landholders
Identify and prioritise critical infrastructure protection	Inclusion of critical infrastructure in bushfire risk management planning	Prioritise asset protection to support strategic infrastructure in mitigation and response planning. (Government's Response Action 29)	SACFS, SAPOL, (Critical infrastructure managers)

Table 2

Preparedness

'Preparedness' is defined by the Australian Institute for Disaster Resilience as:

- *All activities undertaken in advance of the occurrence of an incident to decrease the impact, extent and severity of the incident and to ensure more effective response activities.*
- *Arrangements to ensure that, should an emergency occur, all those resources and services which are needed to cope with the effects can be efficiently mobilised and deployed.*
- *Measures to ensure that, should an emergency occur, communities, resources and services are capable of coping with the effects.* (AIDR 2021)

Preparedness is critical to building government, business, and community resilience to the impacts of bushfires.

BMAPs currently provide an assessment of bushfire risk in each region of the State at the landscape scale and incorporate a range of preparedness activities into recommended risk treatment actions.

The Government's Response to the *Independent Review* identified a number of actions to improve preparedness for bushfire events. These actions have been allocated to a number of SBCC Member agencies and are captured in the 'Coordination Actions Preparedness' table further below.

The SBCC has the responsibility for overseeing the implementation of BMAPs, and for the promotion of public awareness regarding bushfire management. The Government's Response to the *Independent Review* included the need to improve community understanding of places of last resort. While this activity is allocated to SACFS, the integration of the assessment and management of Bushfire Safer Places and Last Resort Refuges within the BMAP process is a critical aspect of achieving a coordinated approach to preparedness. The SBCC has a role in ensuring that this integration occurs within the BMAP process.

SBCC Actions

The SBCC is responsible for the promotion of public awareness regarding bushfire management, and encouraging all members of the community living in bushfire prone areas to prepare themselves and their properties to better manage the risk of bushfire and to enhance their resilience to disasters. SACFS, as the Hazard Leader, has a lead role in the delivery of programmes to support bushfire disaster resilience through community

awareness of and engagement with bushfire risk management, and delivers a substantial annual campaign prior to and during the bushfire danger season. However, all SBCC member agencies, together with other agencies and organisations, have a responsibility to promote bushfire awareness and risk management within their area of activity and operation. The continuation of the SACFS community disaster resilience engagement programme was reinforced by the Government's Response to the *Independent Review* (Action 25).

With the support and guidance of the SBCC, and the planning processes outlined in the BMAP Handbook established by the SBCC, the BMCs have a key role in engaging the community in the development and implementation of BMAPs. This is a critical pathway for the SBCC to facilitate public awareness of bushfire risk management.

Action 5.1

Maintenance and periodic review of the SACFS state-wide community disaster resilience engagement programme to promote public awareness of and preparedness for the threat of bushfire, together with promotion of bushfire awareness and risk management by SBCC Member agencies and organisations within their area of activity and operation.

Note that this will include information regarding the effectiveness of hazard reduction treatments during bushfires.

The Government's Response to the *Independent Review* identified the need to improve the understanding of places of last resort (Action 27). In part to support this process, there is a need to integrate the assessment and management of Bushfire Safer Places and Last Resort Refuges into BMAPs, to facilitate clarity regarding responsibility for their ongoing management and for community messaging regarding their use during emergencies.

Action 4.3

As part of the BMAP Handbook review, address the integration of the assessment and management of Bushfire Safer Places and Last Resort Refuges within BMAPs.

**PREPAREDNESS
IS CRITICAL TO
BUILDING
RESILIENCE TO
THE IMPACTS
OF BUSHFIRES.**






Coordination Actions – Preparedness

SBCC Members undertake a range of activities supporting bushfire preparedness. The following activities will continue, together with new activities as mandated by the Government's Response to the *Independent Review* or other new activities, as noted in the table below. (Note that where the actions are mandated in the Government's Response to the *Independent Review*, these are annotated as '(Government's Response Action #)'). Support agencies are indicated in brackets following the lead agency/agencies.

Activity	Actions	Description/Linkages	Lead (Support) Agency/Organisation
Evacuation Planning	Improve preparation and planning of suitable routes to designated locations of reduced risk	In accordance with the <i>SEMP AIDR Evacuation Handbook</i>	SAPOL/SA Fire and Emergency Services Commission (SAFECOM), (SACFS/DIT/Local Government)
Operational Response Planning	Preparation of detailed pre-incident plans to assist before, during and after emergencies	Regional Operations Management Plans; Group Operations Management Plans (for SACFS) Operations and business continuity plans (All agencies)	SACFS, (All agencies)
		PIRSA Emergency Management Plan and the Adverse Events Recovery Framework.	(PIRSA)
Bushfire Survival Planning	Develop plans to support individual and household response to bushfire emergencies	<i>SACFS Bushfire Survival Plan template</i>	Households and individuals, (SACFS)
		Promotion of rural property preparedness	(PIRSA)
Business Continuity Planning	Develop plans to support maintenance of business operations with minimal disruption, including insurance arrangements	<i>Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery Workbook</i>	Businesses, (Department for Innovation and Skills (DIS))
	Prioritise asset protection to support strategic infrastructure in mitigation and response planning	(Government's Response Action 29)	SACFS/SAPOL
Establish Fire Danger Season Dates	BMCs provide advice on Fire Danger Season date to the CO, SACFS	Requirement under s.78(2) <i>FES Act</i>	SACFS, (BMCs)
Seasonal Outlooks	Quarterly Seasonal Outlooks (March, June, September, December)	SACFS provides quarterly submissions to AFAC Predictive Services Operations Group for consolidation into a national seasonal outlook	SACFS, (BoM, AFAC, DEW)

Activity	Actions	Description/Linkages	Lead (Support) Agency/Organisation
Incident Management Capability	Maintenance of pre-formed Level 3 Incident Management Teams (IMTs)	Per SACFS Doctrine and in accordance with AIIMS	SACFS, (SAMFS, SES, SAPOL, DEW, SAW, FSA, Other government agencies, industry and private landholders)
Resource Sharing/ Coordinated firefighting	Coordinated response to bushfire emergencies throughout the state	SEMP Control Agency arrangements, MOUs between agencies, MAAs	SACFS, SAMFS, (DEW, ForestrySA, SA Water)
	Maintain and enhance cross-border firefighting arrangements	Progress policy and operational coordination and harmonisation across jurisdictions	SACFS, (GTFA, PIRSA, CFA, NSWRFs)
Firefighting resources	Maintenance of sufficient fit for purpose resources to meet response requirements	Gazetted Fire Districts, SACFS Risk and Capability Framework	SACFS, SAMFS, DEW, (Forestry SA)
	Improved integration of Farm Fire Units into South Australia's bushfire-fighting operations	(Government's Response Action 47)	SACFS, (GPSA, Primary Producer Organisations)
Training and development	Appropriately trained and skilled personnel	<i>National Units of competency</i> <i>AFAC Standards</i>	SACFS, SAMFS, DEW, Forestry SA
		PIRSA maintains significant emergency management and recovery capability that can be applied to all hazards	(PIRSA)
Identification of Relief and Recovery Centres	Suitable venues or locations pre-identified across the state	The Local Government Functional Support Group (LGFSG) and the Emergency Relief Functional Support Group (ERFSG) have been working on a Joint Operating Guideline (JOG) setting out the purpose, scope and arrangements for the establishment of <i>Emergency Relief Centres</i> during major emergencies.	Housing SA, (Zone Emergency Management Committees (ZEMC), Local government, SAPOL)
Peri-Urban Response Planning	Review principles for deploying fire and rescue services in peri-urban areas	(Government's Response Action 40)	SAMFS, (SACFS)
Promotion of Insurance Cover	Educate the community to improve levels of under- and non-insurance	(Government's Response Action 24)	SACFS, (Insurance industry)

Table 3



**THE IMPACT OF
BUSHFIRES CAN
BE REDUCED BY
PREVENTION AND
PREPAREDNESS
ACTIVITIES.**

Response

'Response' is defined by the Australian Institute for Disaster Resilience as:

- *Actions taken in anticipation of, during, and immediately after an emergency to ensure that its effects are minimised, and that people affected are given immediate relief and support.*
- *Measures taken in anticipation of, during and immediately after an emergency to ensure its effects are minimised.*

(AIDR 2021)

The SBCC does not have direct responsibility for bushfire response activities, but it does have a role in encouraging collaboration and information sharing among SBCC members, allied agencies and non-government organisations to promote a coordinated response to bushfires in the State.

The impact of bushfires can be reduced by minimising the chance that they occur, lessening the potential for fire spread, reducing the size of fires by early detection, and responding rapidly to suppress fires when they are small.

All bushfire response activities are planned for, developed and implemented in accordance with the SEMP and SACFS Operational Doctrine, with incident management following the Australasian Inter-Service Incident Management System (AIIMS). While SACFS is the Control Agency for rural fire (bushfire) in the State, its operations are supported by the incident management and firefighting capabilities

of the SAMFS, DEW, Private Farm Fire Units (PFFUs) and industry brigades, together with SAPOL, local government, and a wide range of government and non-government support agencies.

SACFS Doctrine establishes the following Standing Operational Priorities:

- maintaining situational awareness so that red flag warnings can be issued, in order that the safety of firefighters and emergency services personnel is assured.
- providing critical (life safety) information quickly, in order that appropriate and timely warnings can be issued to the public and to other agencies.
- protecting places where vulnerable people may be located or may be sheltering:
- this includes occupied schools, hospitals and residential care facilities.
- protecting critical infrastructure, in order that communications and water supplies essential to fire and other emergency operations can be maintained.
- limit collateral and subsequent damage to infrastructure and the environment⁵
- focus on direct or indirect activities once conditions moderate.

Levels of incident and the incident escalation process are defined in SACFS Operational Doctrine, summarised below.

⁵ Under s.97 of the *FES Act*, the SACFS is required to consult with private and public landholders prior to undertaking any activity during a bushfire response that "would result in damage to, or destruction of, property or cause pecuniary loss to any person, and to take into account the relevant provisions of management plans for government reserves". Any such activities on Forest Reserves require the approval of an officer of the South Australian Forestry Corporation.

Level of Incident	Description	Potential Threat to Community
Level 1	Generally characterised as being able to be resolved through the use of local initial response up to and including third alarm resources.	A simple and small incident. There is minimal threat to the community
Level 2	A more complex incident due to its physical size, resources in attendance or required, risk to responders and/or to the community, and broadly characterised by the need for the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deployment of resources greater than third alarm, • Establishment of functional sections within the IMT due to the levels of complexity, • Sectorisation of the incident, and/or • Significant involvement of support agencies. 	More complex in size, resources or risk. There may be a threat to the community at a local or regional level.
Level 3	Broadly characterised by the degree of complexity and consequence that may require the establishment of significant resources and structures for the effective management of the incident. These incidents will usually involve delegation of multiple functions of an IMT by the Incident Controller (IC) and are often protracted in time.	This may require the establishment of divisions for effective management of the situation. There will be a significant threat to the community at a local, regional or state level.

Table 4

Operational Capability – South Australian Fire Services




Figure 15 / Note: CFS figures include DEW (NPWSSA), FSA, Landscape SA and SAW firefighting capabilities; MFS also have marine fire and rescue capability, and Emergency Response Vessel Gallantry.



Coordination Actions – Response

SBCC Members undertake a range of activities supporting bushfire response. The following activities will continue, together with new activities as mandated by the Government's Response to the *Independent Review* or other new activities, as noted in the table below. (Note that where the actions are mandated in the Government's Response to the *Independent Review*, these are annotated as '(Government's Response Action #)'). Support agencies are indicated in (brackets) following the lead agency/agencies.

Activity	Actions	Description/Linkages	Lead Agency/ Organisation
Public Information and Warnings	Timely and accurate warnings and information as per established national frameworks	As per agency doctrine National Warnings Framework (AFAC)	SACFS, SAMFS, SAPOL
	Cross system emergency alert platform to promulgate timely community access to information before, during and after incidents	The <i>Alert SA website</i> provides key public safety and emergency information sources from a wide range of emergency and essential service agencies, government and community partners.	(SAFECOM)
Activation of Relief	Timely activation of relief centres and support for communities impacted by bushfire	SEMP – overarching; ZEST at the local level	Housing SA, (Local Government)
		PIRSA provides significant relief support to regional communities including animal welfare relief and wellbeing support through the Family and Business Support Program	(PIRSA)
Fire Cause Investigation	Investigate fires against established criteria	As per agency doctrine	SAPOL, (SACFS, SAMFS)
Smoke management	Provision of public information regarding potential smoke impacts	Smoke modelling and damage assessment to predict potential impacts of bushfire events on communities and primary industries	BoM, (SACFS, PIRSA, SA Health)
Fire Weather Forecasts and Fire Danger Ratings	Forecasting and monitoring of fire weather conditions across the State, and declaration of fire bans	In accordance with national standards Note introduction of new <i>AFDRS</i>	BoM, SACFS
Total Fire Bans	Declarations issued to restrict high risk activities on days when fires are likely to spread rapidly and be difficult to control.	Pursuant to s.80 of the <i>FES Act</i>	BoM, SACFS
Industry Brigade Coordination	Incorporate Industry Brigades into IMTs	(Government's Response Action 62)	SACFS, (Industry brigades)

Table 5

Recovery

'Recovery' is defined by the Australian Institute for Disaster Resilience as:

The coordinated process of supporting emergency-affected communities in reconstruction of the physical infrastructure and restoration of emotional, social, economic and physical well-being.

Measures which support emergency-affected individuals and communities in the reconstruction of the physical infrastructure and restoration of emotional, economic and physical well-being. (AIDR 2021)

The role of SACFS as the Control Agency for bushfire is confined to the transition to recovery from incident response operations, in accordance with the transition management process set out in the SEMP.

The management of the recovery process rests with State Disaster Recovery under the SEMP, and is supported by the *State Disaster Recovery Coordination Framework*, as well as standing cross-border agreements.

The early transition from response to recovery is critical to supporting effective recovery for communities and should be done at the earliest opportunity. The State Coordinator, after considering the advice of the State Controller of the Control Agency and the State Recovery Coordinator will nominate the transition time from response activities to ongoing recovery.

Recovery of communities, the economy and the environment commences during the incident response phase and, depending on the seriousness of the incident, will often continue for years, and even decades, after a bushfire incident is declared safe.

Impacts of bushfires can be far-reaching, including:

- Loss of housing;
- Loss of business infrastructure and livelihoods;
- Damage to or loss of critical infrastructure;
- Threats to public health (e.g., Asbestos clean-up, impacts from smoke and heat exposure);
- Environmental impacts, including:
 - sedimentation and flooding;
 - landslips due to erosion caused by the removal of ground cover;
 - impacts on soil regeneration due to burn severity; and,
 - loss of species and habitat.

Following the 2019-20 bushfire season, a State framework was developed for *wildlife habitat recovery from bushfire*.

National Recovery Principles

Understand the context

Successful recovery is based on an understanding of community context, with each community having its own history, values and dynamics.

Recognise complexity

Successful recovery is responsive to the complex and dynamic nature of both emergencies and the community.

Use community-led approaches

Successful recovery is community-centred, responsive and flexible, engaging with community and supporting them to move forward.

Coordinate all activities

Successful recovery requires a planned, coordinated and adaptive approach, between community and partner agencies, based on continuing assessment of impacts and needs.

Communicate effectively

Successful recovery is built on effective communication between the affected community and other partners.

Recognise and build capacity

Successful recovery recognises, supports, and builds on individual, community and organisational capacity and resilience.

The SBCC does not have direct responsibility for bushfire recovery activities, but it does have a role in encouraging collaboration and information sharing among SBCC Members and allied agencies and organisations to promote a coordinated response to bushfire recovery in the State.

Recovery is more than rebuilding and providing financial assistance. It is a complex and multilayered social and developmental process; and presents an opportunity to build community resilience to better withstand and recover from future disasters. This Plan supports alignment of recovery processes with the National Recovery Principles (AIDR 2021).

**THE EARLY
TRANSITION
FROM RESPONSE
TO RECOVERY
IS CRITICAL.**





Coordination Actions – Recovery

SBCC Members, allied agencies and non-government organisations undertake a range of activities supporting bushfire recovery. The following activities will continue, together with new activities as mandated by the Government's Response to the *Independent Review* or other new activities, as noted in the table below. (Note that where the actions are mandated in the Government's Response to the *Independent Review*, these are annotated as '(Government's Response Action #)'). Support agencies and organisations are indicated in brackets following the lead agency/agencies.

Activity	Actions	Description/Linkages	Lead Agency/Organisation
Recovery Management	Management of recovery following a bushfire incident to improve and enhance conditions in an affected community	In accordance with the <i>SEMP v1.2</i> (2019)	DPC, (Communities, Businesses, Non-government organisations and volunteers, Local Government, State Government agencies, Australian Government agencies)
		<i>PIRSA Adverse Events Recovery Framework and Bushfire Recovery Programs</i>	(PIRSA)
Recovery Planning – Community Involvement	Ensure the community is involved in emergency and recovery planning and in debriefs to record their experiences and help prepare for future disasters	Government's Response Action 69	DPC, (Communities)
		PIRSA works with primary industries in emergency and recovery planning	(PIRSA)
Recovery Planning – Forestry Industry	Industry resource planning undertaken to support timely and effective recovery	For the plantation forestry sector see: <i>Guidelines for salvage harvest, storage and processing of plantation-grown logs affected by fire</i>	Private Forestry Sector
Damage Assessment	Timely activation of damage assessment during incidents	Damage Assessment Capability Plan (under the SEMP)	SAPOL
	Complete a comprehensive impact assessment to guide recovery needs	PIRSA undertakes an Agriculture Impact Assessment	SAPOL, (PIRSA)
	Undertake natural values impact assessments including habitat and wildlife affected	<i>South Australian Wildlife and Habitat Bushfire Recovery Framework</i>	DEW

Table 6

REPORTING AND REVIEW

Reporting

An action to be undertaken during the life of this Plan is the development of a reporting and assurance framework for the SBCC and the BMCs.

This Plan has been structured to support the reporting of the delivery of the SBCC Actions set out in this Plan, against the following objectives that encompass the direct responsibilities of the SBCC:

- *To identify major bushfire risks in the State;*
- *To set out strategies for coordination and integration of bushfire management;*
- *To outline principles applying to hazard reduction;*
- *To set out standards for BMAPs;*
- *To promote public awareness of bushfire management.*

Annual reports will be prepared for submission to the Minister, setting out progress that the SBCC has made during the reporting period in delivering the actions identified against each of these objectives.

As noted earlier, it is envisaged that annual works plans will be developed to support implementation of SBCC Actions identified in this plan.

In addition, this Plan has commenced a process of identifying 'Coordination Actions'. The SBCC does not have the authority to direct the implementation of these actions, but it does have a function in supporting their coordination through encouraging information sharing and collaboration among the agencies responsible for their delivery. It is proposed that the SBCC Annual Report will also include an overview of progress made in promoting coordination among SBCC Members, allied agencies and representative organisations in relation to these actions.

Review and Continuous Improvement

As the first Plan of its type, in addition to annual review as per the annual reporting process, there will be a mid-term (two-year) review of this four-year plan. The mid-term review will consider progress against the Plan's objectives, and identify areas for improvement.

Review of incident debriefs, reports and reviews regarding matters of relevance to the SBCC's responsibilities will take place on an ongoing basis to support information sharing and continuous improvement in the practices of SBCC member agencies and organisations.

A process for addressing relevant findings from reports, research, inquiries, coronial inquests and commissions will also need to be established as part of the SBCC reporting and assurance framework.

The SBCC approach will seek to align with the *SEMP Lessons Management Framework*, including promotion of enhanced knowledge management within all member agencies and organisations.



GLOSSARY

Acronyms

Term	Definition
ADF	Australian Defence Force
AFAC	Australasian Fire and Emergency Service Authorities Council.
AGD-PLUS	Attorney-General's Department – Planning and Land Use Services
ALT	Aboriginal Lands Trust
AIDR	Australian Institute for Disaster Resilience
APY	Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara
AS 3959 – 2018	Australian Standard 3959 – 2018 Construction of Buildings in Bushfire Prone Areas – The Standard specifies requirements for the construction of buildings in bushfire-prone areas in order to improve their resistance to bushfire attack from burning embers, radiant heat, flame contact and combinations of the three attack forms.
BAL	Bushfire Attack Level
BMA	Bushfire Management Area – refer <i>FES Act 2005</i> Section 72
BMAP	Bushfire Management Area Plan – refer <i>FES Act 2005</i> Section 73A
BMC	Bushfire Management Committee – refer <i>FES Act 2005</i> Section 72A
BoM	Bureau of Meteorology
CCSA	Conservation Council of South Australia
CFS VA	Country Fire Service Volunteers Association
COP	Code of Practice – Document giving methods developed to assist compliance with acts and regulations in the performance of work. (AFAC)
DEW	Department for Environment and Water
DIT	Department for Infrastructure and Transport
DPC	Department of the Premier and Cabinet
FDI	Fire danger index – A relative number denoting the potential rates of spread, or suppression difficulty for specific combinations of temperature, relative humidity, drought effects and wind speed. (AFAC)
FDR	Fire danger rating – A relative class denoting the potential rates of spread, or suppression difficulty for specific combinations of temperature, relative humidity, drought effects and wind speed, indicating the relative evaluation of fire danger. (AFAC)
FDS	Fire Danger Season – The Fire Danger Season generally runs from November through to April, although these dates may change due to seasonal conditions. The exact dates are published prior to the Fire Danger Season. During the Fire Danger Season restrictions are placed on lighting fires to reduce the chances of large fires starting. These restrictions are escalated when a Total Fire Ban Day is declared. (SACFS)
FFDI	Forest Fire Danger Index – The McArthur Forest Fire Danger Index was developed in the 1960s by CSIRO scientist AG McArthur. It is a score from 0 to 100 to measure the degree of danger of fire in Australian forests. (NSW RFS)
FPO	Fire Prevention Officer – refer <i>FES Act 2005</i> Section 105B
FPASA	Fire Prevention Strategic Alliance

Term	Definition
FSA	ForestrySA
GTFA	Green Triangle Fire Alliance
IMT	The group of incident management personnel comprising the Incident Controller (IC), and the personnel he or she appoints to be responsible for the functions of Operations, Planning and Logistics. (AIIMS)
LGA (SA)	Local Government Association of South Australia
MT	Maralinga Tjarutja
NCC	National Construction Code – The NCC is a performance-based code containing all Performance Requirements for the construction of buildings
NERAG	National Emergency Risk Assessment Guidelines published by the Attorney General's Department in 2009. Conforms to international standards for risk management AS/NZS ISO 31000:2018. It is noted these guidelines are under review.
NGO	Non-Government Organisation
NVC	Native Vegetation Council
OCA	Outback Communities Authority
PFFU	Private Farm Fire Unit
PIRSA	Department of Primary Industries and Regions
PPSA	Primary Producers South Australia
PPRR	Prevention, Preparedness, Response, Recovery <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All activities undertaken in advance of the occurrence of an incident to decrease the impact, extent and severity of the incident and to ensure more effective response activities. (AIDR) 2. Arrangements to ensure that, should an emergency occur, all those resources and services which are needed to cope with the effects can be efficiently mobilised and deployed. Measures to ensure that, should an emergency occur, communities, resources and services are capable of coping with the effects. (AIDR)
RCC	Regional Command Centre (per SACFS Doctrine)
RFHP	Rural Fire Hazard Plan – refer <i>EM Act 2004</i> , Part 1A 5A (3)(b) & SEMP Part 4 Section 1.4.1
SACFS	South Australian Country Fire Service
SAMFS	South Australian Metropolitan Fire Service
SAPOL	South Australian Police
SAW	SA Water
SBCC	State Bushfire Coordination Committee – refer <i>FES Act 2005</i> Section 71
SBMP	State Bushfire Management Plan - refer <i>FES Act 2005</i> Section 73
SCC	State Command Centre (per SACFS Doctrine)
SEMP	State Emergency Management Plan – <i>EM Act 2004</i> Part 1A
TFB	Total Fire Bans may be declared for days when fires are likely to spread rapidly and be difficult to control.
ZEMC	Zone Emergency Management Committees (ZEMC) operate across eleven emergency management zones and are responsible for zone (regional) planning to support the SEMP. The ZEMC's use an all-hazards approach to emergency management from prevention through to recovery, including the development of zone emergency management plans. (SEMP Part 1)
ZEST	The Zone Emergency Support Team (ZEST) supports the resolution of an emergency by providing coordination of local resources. (SEMP Part 1)

Definitions

Term	Definition
Allied agencies	Agencies that do not necessarily have direct land management responsibilities but have responsibilities to manage bushfire risk
Bushfire	Unplanned vegetation fire. A generic term which includes grass fires, forest fires and scrub fires both with and without a suppression objective. (AFAC)
Bushfire Attack Level (BAL)	A Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) is a means of measuring the severity of a building's potential exposure to ember attack, radiant heat and direct flame contact. (AS 3959 -2018)
Bushfire Hazard	Any materials which can fuel a fire, such as leaf litter, grass, garden mulch and woodpiles. A hazard is a source of potential harm or a situation with potential to cause loss. (AFAC)
Bushfire Management	All those activities directed to prevention, detection, damage mitigation, and suppression of bushfires. Includes bushfire legislation, policy, administration, law enforcement, community education, training of fire fighters, planning, communications systems, equipment, research, and the multitude of field operations undertaken by land managers and emergency services personnel relating to bushfire control. (AFAC/AIDR)
Bushfire Risk	The exposure [as a result of bushfire impact] to the possibility of such things as economic or financial loss or gain, physical damage, injury or delay, as a consequence of pursuing a particular course of action. The concept of risk has two elements, i.e. the likelihood of something happening and the consequences if it happens. (AFAC)
Bushfire Threat	Potential bushfire exposure of an asset due to the proximity and type of a hazard, and the slope on which the asset is situated.
Consequence	<p>Outcome of an event (3.5) affecting objectives (ISO 31000:2018)</p> <p>The outcome of an event or situation expressed qualitatively or quantitatively, being a loss, injury, disadvantage or gain. (AIDR)</p> <p>The outcome of an event or situation expressed qualitatively or quantitatively. In the emergency risk management context, consequences are generally described as the effects on persons, society, the environment and the economy. (AIDR)</p>
Control agency	The Control Agency takes charge of the emergency and provides leadership to all other agencies responding. When an agency attends an emergency but is not in charge they are referred to as a support agency (SEMP Part 1)
Curing	Drying and browning of herbaceous vegetation due to mortality or senescence. (AFAC)
Designated Bushfire Prone Area	An area defined under the <i>Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016</i> and its regulations for the purpose of applying building rules requirements for the assessment of particular classes of buildings in known bushfire prone areas. Designated Bushfire Prone Areas are defined in Ministerial Building Standard MBS 008, which details additional requirements relating to a Class 1, 2 or 3 building to be constructed in these areas.
Disaster	A serious disruption of the functioning of a community or a society at any scale due to hazardous events interacting with conditions of exposure, vulnerability and capacity, leading to one or more of the following: human, material, economic and environmental losses and impacts. The effect of the disaster can be immediate and localised, but is often widespread and could last for a long period of time. The effect may test or exceed the capacity of a community or society to cope using its own resources, and therefore may require assistance from external sources, which could include neighbouring jurisdictions, or those at the national or international levels. (UNDRR)
Disaster resilience	The ability to survive, adapt and grow, no matter what happens. (SA Disaster Resilience Strategy 2019)
Emergency	An event, actual or imminent, which endangers or threatens to endanger life, property or the environment, and which requires a significant and coordinated response. (AIDR)
Fire frequency	A general term referring to the recurrence of fire in a given area over time (National Wildfire Coordinating Group US). Also see: Fire regime

Term	Definition
Fire regime	The history of fire in a particular vegetation type or area including the frequency, intensity and season of burning. It may also include proposals for the use of fire in a given area. (AFAC)
Hazard Leader	The Hazard Leader coordinates the comprehensive planning process (risk prevention, preparedness, response and recovery) relating to its assigned hazard. (SEMP Part 1 Overview) State Emergency Management Committee (SEMC) identifies Hazards, or potential sources of risk for emergencies in South Australia. For each identified hazard, SEMC may assign an agency, known as a 'Hazard Leader', to exercise the roles and responsibilities as describe in section 5.1. (SEMP Part 2 Arrangements)
Hazard Overlay (for development planning approval purposes)	Spatial layer contained in the South Australian Planning and Design Code applying policy to mitigate the potential impacts of bushfire hazard, used in the assessment of development applications
Hazard (Fuel) Reduction	Hazard (Fuel) Reduction – Manipulation, including combustion, or removal of fuels to reduce the likelihood of ignition and/or to lessen potential damage and resistance to control. (AFAC)
Heads of Agencies	The Chief Executives of DEW, Forestry SA and SA Water, together with the Chief Officer of SACFS, to coordinate fire management on public lands, have met over more than two decades. The group has endorsed a <i>Code of Practice for Fire Management on Public Land in South Australia</i>
Land managers	Individuals and organisations with responsibility for administration, maintenance, management and development of land and associated resources, for resource production, development or conservation.
Likelihood	In risk management (3.2) terminology, the word “likelihood” is used to refer to the chance of something happening, whether defined, measured or determined objectively or subjectively, qualitatively or quantitatively, and described using general terms or mathematically (such as a probability or a frequency over a given time period). (ISO 31000:2018) In the context of bushfire, the chance of a bushfire igniting and spreading.
Prescribed burning	The controlled application of fire under specified environmental conditions to a predetermined area and at the time, intensity, and rate of spread required to attain planned resource management objectives. (AFAC)
Prevention	All activities concerned with minimising the occurrence of incidents, particularly those of human origin. (AIDR). Regulatory and physical measures to ensure that emergencies are prevented, or their effects mitigated. <i>* Measures to eliminate or reduce the incidence or severity of emergencies. (AIDR)</i>
Recovery	The restoring or improving of livelihoods and health, as well as economic, physical, social, cultural and environmental assets, systems and activities, of a disaster affected community or society, aligning with the principles of sustainable development and “build back better”, to avoid or reduce future disaster risk. (AIDR)
Regional Plan	A planning instrument under the <i>Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016</i> . Regional Plans identify regional priorities, issues and strategic directions for a region.
Resilience	The ability of a system, community or society exposed to hazards to resist, absorb, accommodate, adapt to, transform and recover from the effects of a hazard in a timely and efficient manner, including through the preservation and restoration of its essential basic structures and functions through risk management. (UNDRR)
Response	Actions taken in anticipation of, during, and immediately after an emergency to ensure that its effects are minimised, and that people affected are given immediate relief and support. (AIDR) Measures taken in anticipation of, during and immediately after an emergency to ensure its effects are minimised. (AIDR)
Risk Acceptance	An informed decision to take a particular risk. (AIDR)

Term	Definition
Risk Assessment	The overall process of risk identification, risk analysis and risk evaluation (ISO 31000:2018)
Risk Reduction	A selective application of appropriate techniques and management principles to reduce either likelihood of an occurrence or its consequences, or both. (AIDR)
Risk Reporting	A form of communication intended to inform particular stakeholders by providing information regarding the current state of risk and its management. (AIDR)
Risk Tolerance	Organisation's or stakeholder's readiness to bear the risk after risk treatment in order to achieve its objectives. (AIDR)
Risk Treatment (also referred to as <i>Risk Control</i>)	The process of selection and implementation of measures to modify risk. A measure that maintains and/or modifies risk. Controls include, but are not limited to, any process, policy, device, practice, or other conditions and/or actions which maintain and/or modify risk. (AIDR)
Urban rural interface	Also referred to as peri-urban interface. The line, area, or zone where structures and other human development adjoin or overlap with undeveloped bushland. (AFAC).
State Crisis Centre	During an emergency the State Crisis Centre supports the Minister (the Premier) with State-level policy advice and guidance. The State Crisis Centre links with the Commonwealth Crisis Coordination Centre to share information and seek support when required. The State Crisis Centre is supported and managed by the Department of the Premier and Cabinet. (SEMP Part 1)
State Emergency Centre	In an emergency situation, the State Emergency Centre brings together all relevant agencies and support staff to facilitate a coordinated State-level response. The State Emergency Centre is operated and supported by the South Australia Police. Any control or support agency or functional support group can request the activation of the State Emergency Centre by contacting the State Coordinator. (SEMP Part 1)
State Planning Policy (SPP)	A planning instrument under the <i>Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016</i> . State Planning Policies are high level planning policies and principles for South Australia.
Tenure blind	All agencies and land managers undertaking risk assessment and risk mitigation regardless of tenure.
'The Code' (for development planning approval purposes)	The South Australian Planning and Design Code is a key planning instrument under the <i>Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016</i> , delivered from a digital platform containing spatial layers and associated policy for use in the assessment of development within South Australia.
Vulnerability	The conditions determined by physical, social, economic and environmental factors or processes which increase the susceptibility of an individual, a community, assets or systems to the impacts of hazards. For positive factors which increase the ability of people to cope with hazards, see also the definitions of "Capacity" and "Coping capacity". (AIDR)

REFERENCES

Commonwealth of Australia (2018) *National Disaster Risk Reduction Framework*.

CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology (2021), *State of the Climate 2020*. Commonwealth of Australia.

Department of Home Affairs (2021), *The First National Action Plan – To Implement the National Disaster Risk Reduction Framework 2020*. Commonwealth of Australia.

Emergency Management Act 2004 (SA))

Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 (SA)

Government of South Australia (2017), *State Emergency Management Committee Strategic Plan 2017-2022*.

Government of South Australia (2019), *State Emergency Management Plan*, V. 1.2.

Government of South Australia (2019), *Stronger Together – South Australia's Disaster Resilience Strategy 2019-2024*.

Government of South Australia (2020), *Independent Review into South Australia's 2019-20 Bushfire Season*.

Government of South Australia (2021), *Climate Change Action Plan 2021-2025*.

Government of South Australia (2021), *To Create a Safer and More Bushfire Resilient South Australia: Government of South Australia's response to the Independent Review into South Australia's 2019-2020 Bushfire Season*.

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (2015), *Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030*, Geneva.

South Australian Country Fire Service (2014), *Rural Fire Hazard Leader Plan 2014-2015*. Government of South Australia.

Williams, J., Albright, D., Hoffmann, A., Eritsov, A., Moore, P.F., Mendes de Moraes, J.C., Leonard, M., San Miguel-Ayaz, J., Xanthopoulos, G., van Lierop, P. (2011), "Findings and Implications from a Coarse-Scale Global Assessment of Recent Selected Mega Fires", 5th International Wildland Fire Conference, Sun City, South Africa, 9-13 May 2011.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 - Legislation Summary

Legislation	Purpose of the Legislation
<i>Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 (SA)</i>	An Act to establish the South Australian Fire and Emergency Services Commission and to provide for the Commission's role in the governance, strategic and policy aspects of the emergency services sector; to provide for the continuation of a metropolitan fire and emergency service, a country fire and emergency service, and a State emergency service; to provide for the prevention, control and suppression of fires and for the handling of certain emergency situations; and for other purposes.
<i>Emergency Management Act 2004 (SA)</i>	An Act to establish strategies and systems for the management of emergencies in the State; and for other purposes.
<i>Local Government Act 1999 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide for local government; and for other purposes.
<i>Native Vegetation Act 1991 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide incentives and assistance to landowners in relation to the preservation and enhancement of native vegetation; to control the clearance of native vegetation; and for other purposes. The following Regulations provide detail regarding exemptions under the Act: <i>Native Vegetation Regulations 2017 (SA)</i>
<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Commonwealth)</i>	An Act relating to the protection of the environment and the conservation of biodiversity, and for related purposes. The following Regulations provide detail regarding implementation or aspects of the Act: <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000</i>
<i>National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide for the establishment and management of reserves for public benefit and enjoyment; to provide for the conservation of wildlife in a natural environment; and for other purposes.
<i>Landscape South Australia Act 2019 (SA)</i>	An Act to promote sustainable and integrated management of the State's landscapes, to make provision for the protection of the State's natural resources, to repeal the <i>Natural Resources Management Act 2004</i> and to make consequential amendments to other Acts, and for other purposes.
<i>Wilderness Protection Act 1992 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide for the protection of wilderness and the restoration of land to its condition before European colonisation; and for other purposes.
<i>Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide for matters that are relevant to the use, development and management of land and buildings, including by providing a planning system to regulate development within the State, rules with respect to the design, construction and use of buildings, and other initiatives to facilitate the development of infrastructure, facilities and environments that will benefit the community; to repeal the <i>Development Act 1993</i> ; to make related amendments to the <i>Character Preservation (Barossa Valley) Act 2012</i> , the <i>Character Preservation (McLaren Vale) Act 2012</i> , the <i>Environment, Resources and Development Court Act 1993</i> , the <i>Liquor Licensing Act 1997</i> , the <i>Local Government Act 1999</i> , the <i>Public Sector Act 2009</i> and the <i>Urban Renewal Act 1995</i> ; and for other purposes. <i>Planning, Development and Infrastructure (General) Regulations 2017</i> <i>Planning, Development and Infrastructure (Transitional Provisions) Regulations 2017</i>
<i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide for the protection and preservation of the Aboriginal heritage; to repeal the <i>Aboriginal and Historic Relics Preservation Act 1965</i> and the <i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1979</i> ; and for other purposes.

Legislation	Purpose of the Legislation
<i>Aboriginal Lands Trust Act 2013 (SA)</i>	An Act to continue the Aboriginal Lands Trust; to enable the Trust to acquire, hold and deal with land for the continuing benefit of Aboriginal South Australians; and for other purposes.
<i>Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Land Rights Act 1981 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide for the vesting of title to certain lands in the people known as Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara; and for other purposes.
<i>Outback Communities (Administration and Management) Act 2009 (SA)</i>	An Act to establish the Outback Communities Authority and to facilitate the administration and management of outback communities; to repeal the <i>Outback Areas Community Development Trust Act 1978</i> ; and for other purposes.
<i>Forestry Act 1950 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide for the creation and management of State forests and other related matters.
<i>Native Title Act 1993 (Cth)</i>	An Act about native title in relation to land or waters, and for related purposes.
<i>Native Title Act 1994 (SA)</i>	An Act relating to native title.
<i>Pastoral Land Management and Conservation Act 1989 (SA)</i>	An Act to make provision for the management and conservation of pastoral land; and for other purposes.
<i>Maralinga Tjarutja Land Rights Act 1984 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide for the vesting of title to certain lands known as the Maralinga lands in the people who are acknowledged as the traditional owners.
<i>Arkaroola Protection Act 2012 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide for the establishment of the Arkaroola Protection Area; to provide for the proper management of the Arkaroola Protection Area and prohibit mining activities in the Arkaroola Protection Area; to make related amendments to the <i>Development Act 1993</i> , the <i>Natural Resources Management Act 2004</i> and the <i>Pastoral Land Management and Conservation Act 1989</i> ; and for other purposes.
<i>Defence Act 1903 (Cth)</i>	An Act to provide for the Naval and Military Defence and Protection of the Commonwealth and of the several States.
<i>Dog Fence Act 1946 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide for the establishment and maintenance of dog-proof fences in the State in order to prevent the entry of wild dogs into pastoral areas, and for incidental purposes.
<i>Forest Property Act 2000</i>	An Act to encourage commercial investment in forest property; and for other purposes.
<i>Mines and Works Inspection Act 1920 (SA)</i>	An Act to make better provision for the regulation and inspection of mines and works, and for other purposes.
<i>Opal Mining Act 1995 (SA)</i>	An Act to regulate prospecting and mining for opals and other precious stones.
<i>Roxby Downs (Indenture Ratification) Act 1982 (SA)</i>	An Act to ratify and approve a certain indenture between the State of South Australia and others; to make special provision for local government in relation to a part of the State subject to the indenture; and for other purposes.
<i>Defence Legislation Amendment (Woomera Prohibited Area) Act 2014 (Commonwealth)</i>	An Act to amend legislation relating to defence, and for related purposes.
<i>Mining Act 1971 (SA)</i>	An Act to regulate and control mining operations; and for other purposes.
<i>Petroleum and Geothermal Energy Act 2000 (SA)</i>	An Act to regulate exploration for, and the recovery or commercial utilisation of, petroleum and certain other resources; and for other purposes.
<i>Crown Land Management Act 2009 (SA)</i>	An Act to make provision for the disposal, management and conservation of Crown land; to repeal the <i>Crown Lands Act 1929</i> , the <i>Discharged Soldiers Settlement Act 1934</i> , the <i>Irrigation (Land Tenure) Act 1930</i> , the <i>Marginal Lands Act 1940</i> , the <i>Monarto Legislation Repeal Act 1980</i> , the <i>Port Pirie Laboratory Site Act 1922</i> and the <i>War Service Land Settlement Agreement Act 1945</i> ; and for other purposes.
<i>Highways Act 1926 (SA)</i>	An Act to provide for the appointment of a Commissioner of Highways, and to make further and better provisions for the construction and maintenance of roads and works, and for other purposes.

SBCC Membership (per FES Act)	SBCC Functions (per FES Act)	SBCC Reporting Obligations (per FES Act) and Arrangements (per existing Guidelines and BMAP Handbook)
<p>s.71 (2)</p> <p>The State Bushfire Coordination Committee consists of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the Chief Officer of SACFS (<i>ex officio</i>) (who will be the presiding member of the committee) <p>The following members, appointed by the Governor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 officer of SAMFS, nominated by SAMFS 1 officer of SACFS (not being an officer who holds office as a volunteer member of SACFS), nominated by SACFS 1 volunteer member of SACFS, nominated by the Country Fire Service Volunteers Association 1 police officer, nominated by South Australia Police 1 officer of the administrative unit of the Public Service primarily responsible for assisting the relevant Minister in the administration of the <i>National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972</i>, nominated by the Chief Executive of that administrative unit 1 officer of the South Australian Forestry Corporation, nominated by the South Australian Forestry Corporation 1 officer of the administrative unit of the Public Service primarily responsible for assisting the relevant Minister in the administration of the <i>Highways Act 1926</i>, nominated by the Chief Executive of that administrative unit 1 officer of the administrative unit of the Public Service primarily responsible for assisting the relevant Minister in the administration of the <i>Electricity Act 1996</i>, nominated by the Chief Executive of that administrative unit 	<p>s.71A (1)</p> <p>The State Bushfire Coordination Committee has the following functions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> to advise the Minister on bushfire prevention in the country and in designated urban bushfire risk areas; to advise the Minister on matters related to bushfire management; as far as is reasonably practicable—to promote the Statewide coordination and integration of policies, practices and strategies relating to bushfire management activities; to provide guidance, direction and advice to bushfire management committees and to resolve any issues that may arise between 2 or more bushfire management committees; to prepare, and to keep under review, the State Bushfire Management Plan and to keep under review the extent to which— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bushfire Management Area Plans; and policies, practices and strategies adopted or applied by bushfire management committees, are consistent with the State Bushfire Management Plan; to oversee the implementation of the State Bushfire Management Plan and to report to the Minister on any failure or delay in relation to the implementation of the plan; to prepare, or initiate the development of, other plans, policies, practices, codes of practice or strategies to promote effective bushfire management within the State; 	<p>s. 71E—Annual reports</p> <p>The State Bushfire Coordination Committee must, on or before 31 August in each year, provide to SACFS a report on the activities of the State Bushfire Coordination Committee and each bushfire management committee during the preceding financial year (and need not provide a report under the <i>Public Sector Act 2009</i>).</p> <p>71F—Specific reports</p> <p>The Minister or the Commission may, by written notice to the State Bushfire Coordination Committee, require the State Bushfire Coordination Committee to provide to the Minister or the Commission, within a period stated in the notice or at stated intervals, any report or reports relating to the performance, exercise or discharge of any aspect of its functions, powers or responsibilities, as the Minister or the Commission (as the case may be) thinks fit.</p> <p>If a requirement is imposed under subsection (1), the State Bushfire Coordination Committee must cause a statement of the fact of the imposition of the requirement to be published in its next annual report.</p> <p>Other Reporting (by policy or procedure)</p> <p>Verbal or written report at meetings</p>

SBCC Membership <i>(per FES Act)</i>	SBCC Functions <i>(per FES Act)</i>	SBCC Reporting Obligations <i>(per FES Act)</i> and Arrangements <i>(per existing Guidelines and BMAP Handbook)</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 officer of the administrative unit of the Public Service primarily responsible for assisting the relevant Minister in the administration of the <i>Development Act 1993</i> with experience in development in bushfire prone areas, nominated by the Chief Executive of that administrative unit • (ixa) 1 officer of the administrative unit of the Public Service primarily responsible for assisting the relevant Minister in the administration of the <i>Landscape South Australia Act 2019</i>, nominated by the Chief Executive of that administrative unit • 1 officer of the administrative unit of the Public Service primarily responsible for assisting the relevant Minister in the administration of primary industry in the State, nominated by the Chief Executive of that administrative unit • 1 officer of the South Australian Water Corporation, nominated by the South Australian Water Corporation • 1 person nominated by the Bureau of Meteorology • 1 person nominated by the LGA • 1 person nominated by the Native Vegetation Council • 1 person nominated by the Outback Areas Community Development Trust • 1 person nominated by Primary Producers SA Incorporated • 1 person nominated by the Conservation Council of South Australia Incorporated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to convene forums to discuss bushfire management issues, and to promote public awareness of the need to ensure proper bushfire management within the State; • at the request of the Minister, or on its own initiative, to provide a report on any matter relevant to bushfire management; • to carry out any other function assigned to the State Bushfire Coordination Committee under this or any other Act or by the Minister. 	

BMC Membership (per FES Act)	BMC Functions (per FES Act)	BMC Reporting Obligations (per FES Act) and Arrangements (per existing Guidelines and BMAP Handbook)
s.72A (2)	72B (1)	Policy & Procedure
<p>The composition of a bushfire management committee will be determined by the State Bushfire Coordination Committee after consultation with the Minister (and the State Coordination Bushfire Committee will then make appointments to the committee). The list below is indicative of the membership of Bushfire Management Committees. Note that there are some variations between BMCs regarding membership composition.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Regional Officer of the SACFS • An Executive Officer from the SACFS • A senior CFS Officer(s), who is a volunteer, nominated by the CFS VA, with a maximum of two • Any Local Government Authority will be required to have a nominee. The nominee is to be a Chief Executive Officer or a Senior Manager within a Council • A Manager of the SAMFS, where applicable • An Officer from ForestrySA, where applicable • An Officer from the DEWNR • A landholder recommended by the South Australian Farmers Federation (Primary Producers South Australia) • An Officer of the SAPOL • The Department for Transport and Infrastructure, where applicable • A person nominated by the Natural Resource Management Board(s) • An Officer of SA Water, where applicable • A person nominated by the Conservation Council of South Australia, where applicable • On the approval of the State Bushfire Coordination Committee, a member(s) sought by nomination from the community to fill skills required for the effective operation of the Committee 	<p>A bushfire management committee has the following functions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to advise the State Bushfire Coordination Committee on bushfire prevention in its area; • to promote the coordination of policies, practices and strategies relating to bushfire management activities within its area; • to prepare, and to keep under review, a Bushfire Management Area Plan for its area, and to ensure that this plan is consistent with the State Bushfire Management Plan; • to oversee the implementation of its Bushfire Management Area Plan and to report to the State Bushfire Coordination Committee or, if it thinks fit, to the Minister, on any failure or delay in relation to the implementation of the plan; • to prepare, or initiate the development of, other plans, policies, practices or strategies to promote effective bushfire management within its area; • to convene local or regional forums to discuss issues associated with bushfire management within its area, and to work with local communities to promote and improve effective bushfire management; • at the request of the Minister or the State Bushfire Coordination Committee, or on its own initiative, to provide a report on any matter relevant to bushfire management within its area; • to carry out any other function assigned to the bushfire management committee under this or any other Act, by the Minister or by the State Bushfire Coordination Committee. 	<p>The SBCC determines the process for development of BMAPs and issues guidelines to assist the planning process. Further detail regarding this process can be found in the Bushfire Management Area Plan Handbook.</p> <p>BMC Guidelines - BMC Responsibility to the SBCC and BMAPS</p> <p>Under s.72B of the <i>FES Act 2005</i>, the BMCs have the following responsibilities to the SBCC:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operate in accordance with all guidelines and instructions by the SBCC. • Submit draft BMAPs to the SBCC for endorsement in accordance with guidelines approved by the SBCC and the FESA 2005. • Submit reports as required by the SBCC. • Refer issues and recommendations with wider policy implications to the SBCC where necessary. • Refer any relevant matters to the SBCC for consideration and their information. • Provide copies of minutes of the BMC meetings to the SBCC. • Provide regular activity reports to the SBCC <p>The BMCs are required under Section 72B of the <i>FES Act 2005</i> to report on their bushfire prevention activities and other matters relevant to bushfire management in their area. The SBCC specifies the reporting requirements for each BMC.</p>

BMC Membership <i>(per FES Act)</i>	BMC Functions <i>(per FES Act)</i>	BMC Reporting Obligations <i>(per FES Act)</i> and Arrangements <i>(per existing Guidelines and BMAP Handbook)</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any other person with relevant bushfire management or responsibility and experience, as approved by the SBCC, including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> for the Limestone Coast, a person who will be a non ForestrySA representative of the Forest Owners Conference persons representing Traditional Owners, where appropriate a person representing the Australian Government, where applicable a person representing the Defence forces, where applicable a person representing the mining industry, where applicable 		<p>BMC Guidelines – Responsibility of BMC Members to their Organisation/Agency</p> <p>From a BMC perspective, BMC members have the following responsibilities to their organisation/agency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inform of local issues that may be pertinent to organisation/agency bushfire policy and program objectives, including media reporting. Report BMC activities and decisions to their organisation/agency on a regular basis. Keep up to date on current bushfire management policy, conservation objectives, fire ecology and bushfire management research. <p>BMC Guidelines - BMCs and BMAPs</p> <p>Regular reports should be made regarding the progress of the BMAP at each BMC meeting (<i>FES Act 2005 73B (1) (d)</i>)</p> <p>BMC Guidelines – REPORTING</p> <p>BMC members are required to report back to their organisation/agency, informing them of the decisions of the BMC, and to provide regular feedback to the BMC from their organisation/agency. Pertinent to this is seeking opinion on BMC activities from senior members of the organisation/agency. Members must also provide regular reports to the BMC regarding relevant activities of their organisation/agency with regards to the BMAP and bushfire management</p>

Attachment 3 – Planning and Development Assessment Reforms

The South Australian planning system is administered through the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016* (the *PDI Act*).

New terms and processes have been introduced as part of the planning system, including operational, high level, strategic priorities known as State Planning Policies.

Of particular relevance to the SBMP is *State Planning Policy 15: Natural Hazards* with a primary objective: 'To build the resilience of communities, development and infrastructure from the adverse impacts of natural hazards.'

The following chart (Figure 16) illustrates how referrals work within the planning system.

Referral mechanics

The regulations allow the Code to set out the 'class of development' that should be referred to a prescribed body, and the purpose of that referral.

Referrals are generally located in overlays and are largely associated with key state interests that are described in the SPPs. However, there are some referrals that are land-use-based and apply statewide, rather than applying to a specific location. These referrals are contained within Part 9 of the Code – referrals to other Authorities or Agencies.

The following flow charts sets out how referrals fit within various instruments within the new planning system.

FLOW CHART

How referrals fit within various instruments in the planning system

PDI ACT

Sets out that agency referrals on development applications are a part of the planning system

STATE PLANNING POLICY

Defines the state's interests and provides the policy framework for other planning instruments such as the Planning and Design Code.

Indicates an agency's referral role by setting out state interests up front.

PDI REGULATIONS

Provides the overarching 'framework' for referrals such as:

- listing the prescribed bodies (agencies) who are to receive referrals
- the timeframe to provide a response on a referral
- the powers to direct, concur or provide advice to the decision authority

PLANNING AND DESIGN CODE

Completes the 'referrals picture' by setting out:

- the specific details of what types of developments require referral, and where (e.g. via Overlays or statewide)
- the purpose of the referral (which guides what the agency should consider in its assessment)
- may also set out criteria in which all being met, exempts the need for a referral

Figure 16

Of relevance to SBMP 2021, is an understanding that the Code contains a set of Hazard (Bushfire) Overlays, essentially reflecting previously mapped areas (as contained in Development Plans) of potential high, medium and general bushfire hazard impact. The Hazard (Bushfire) Overlays and updated policy contained within them provides a policy framework to mitigate the potential impacts from bushfire hazard at that 'point in time' that development assessment occurs.

The following overlays are included in the Code:

- Hazards (Bushfire - High Risk) Overlay
- Hazards (Bushfire – Medium Risk) Overlay
- Hazards (Bushfire – General Risk) Overlay
- Hazards (Bushfire – Regional) Overlay
- Hazards (Bushfire – Outback) Overlay
- Hazards (Bushfire – Urban Interface) Overlay

Also of note are two Overlays relating to Native Vegetation as part of the Code Framework. Those Overlays highlight the areas of the state subject to the Native Vegetation Act and link requirements for approvals for native vegetation clearance with development of approvals.

As part of the Code, certain development types within the Hazard (High Bushfire Risk) Overlay will require referral to the State's Hazard Leader – the South Australian Country Fire Service and Control Agency for Rural Fire in the State (SACFS). This provides for the CFS to undertake an on-the-ground assessment of the proposed development and bushfire hazard risk, along with undertaking a Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) assessment as required under the

Building Code (National Construction Code of Australia). The authority dealing with applications requiring this referral are provided with 'Direction' from the relevant agency/body (CFS) prior to making their decision on the development proposal.

In addition to the above, Ministers Specification SA 78 has been transitioned and updated as Ministerial Standard MBS 008 (July 2020), which details additional requirements relating to a Class 1, 2 or 3 building to be constructed in a designated bushfire prone area. [Currently, both the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016* and the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure (General) Regulations 2017* (Division 4 – General, Regulation 98) explain that for the purpose of the application of the building rules, a designated bushfire prone area may be defined in a Ministerial building standard or identified in the Planning and Design Code.]



Figure 17 / State Planning Policies

HOW THE CODE WORKS

Code Structure

The Code includes a set of policies, rules and classifications which may be selected and applied in various parts of the state for the purposes of development assessment.


The Code applies zones, subzones and overlays (which form spatial layers across South Australia) to specify policies and rules that govern the use and development of particular areas.

General Development Policies can also apply, but are linked to the type of development proposed rather than its location.

The Code includes definitions of land uses and establishes land use classes, as well as administrative definitions.

In certain circumstances, the Code allows for the adaption of rules that apply to a overlay, zone or subzone to provide for local variations. This is done through a Technical and Numeric Variations Overlay.

Spatial policies and rules



Non Spatial policies and rules



Figure 18

APPENDIX





**Government
of South Australia**

STATE BUSHFIRE COORDINATION COMMITTEE

Bushfire Management Area Plan Handbook

Version 2

February 2018

Note: the *Development Act 1993* has been repealed in full and South Australia's planning system is administered through the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016* (the PDI Act).

TITLE: Bushfire Management Area Plan Handbook v2

DATE: February 2018

REVIEW DATE: February 2019

CUSTODIAN: CFS Bushfire Management Planning Unit

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	4
2	SCOPE	5
2.1	<i>Purpose</i>	5
2.2	<i>Aim</i>	5
2.3	<i>Prevention, Preparedness, Response and Recovery Framework</i>	6
2.4	<i>Consideration of Risk Priority</i>	6
2.5	<i>Assets of Aboriginal Significance</i>	6
3	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	7
3.1	<i>Minister for Emergency Services</i>	7
3.2	<i>State Bushfire Coordination Committee (SBCC)</i>	7
3.3	<i>Bushfire Management Committees (BMCs)</i>	8
3.4	<i>Local Government</i>	8
3.5	<i>South Australian Country Fire Service (SACFS)</i>	9
3.6	<i>Department for Environment and Water (DEW)</i>	10
3.7	<i>SA Water Corporation</i>	10
3.8	<i>Forestry</i>	11
3.9	<i>Additional Key Stakeholders</i>	11
4	BMAP BUSHFIRE RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK	12
4.1	<i>Bushfire Risk Information Management System (BRIMS)</i>	12
5	BMAP DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	13
5.1	<i>BMAP Initialisation</i>	14
5.2	<i>Stakeholder Engagement</i>	14
5.3	<i>Risk and Treatment Workshops</i>	14
5.3.1	<i>Risk Identification and Treatment Allocation Workshops</i>	14
5.3.2	<i>Risk Analysis, Evaluation and Treatment Validation</i>	15
5.3.3	<i>Area-wide Bushfire Risk Assessment Workshops</i>	15
5.4	<i>Review, Evaluation and Recommendations</i>	15
6	BUSHFIRE RISK IDENTIFICATION	16
6.1	<i>Bushfire Safer Places (BSPs) and Last Resort Refuges (LRR)</i>	16
6.2	<i>Inclusion of Assets into BRIMS</i>	16
6.2.1	<i>Human Settlement Assets</i>	17
6.2.2	<i>Economic Assets</i>	18
6.2.3	<i>Cultural Heritage Assets</i>	19
6.2.4	<i>Environmental Assets</i>	19
7	THE NATURE AND TYPES OF CAUSES OF BUSHFIRE	20

8	BUSHFIRE RISK ASSESSMENT	21
8.1	<i>Bushfire Risk Criteria</i>	21
8.2	<i>Likelihood</i>	21
8.3	<i>Consequence</i>	22
8.4	<i>Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) – Radiant Heat Threat</i>	23
8.5	<i>Susceptibility of Human Settlement Assets</i>	24
8.6	<i>Susceptibility of Economic and Cultural Heritage Assets (Built Structures)</i>	27
8.7	<i>Consequence matrix – Human Settlement Assets</i>	28
8.8	<i>Consequence Matrix – Economic and Cultural Heritage Assets</i>	29
8.9	<i>Overall Risk Matrices</i>	29
9	RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS	31
10	PROPOSED METHODOLOGY FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF BMA-WIDE BUSHFIRE RISKS	34
10.1	<i>Methodology for Identifying Bushfire Risks in the BMA</i>	34
11	BUSHFIRE RISK EVALUATION	36
11.1	<i>Risk Analysis Outcomes</i>	36
11.2	<i>Tolerable Bushfire Risk Level</i>	37
11.3	<i>Prioritising Bushfire Risk Treatments</i>	38
12	RISK TREATMENT STRATEGIES	39
12.1	<i>Asset Specific Risk Treatment Strategies and Actions</i>	40
12.2	<i>Land and Property Management</i>	41
12.2.1	<i>Property Preparedness</i>	41
12.2.2	<i>Asset Protection Zones (A-Zones)</i>	41
12.2.3	<i>Bushfire Buffer Zones (B-Zones)</i>	42
12.2.4	<i>Firebreaks and Fire Access Tracks</i>	42
12.2.5	<i>Prescribed Burning</i>	42
12.3	<i>Legislative, Development Control and Administrative</i>	43
12.3.1	<i>Council Planning and Development Policy and Standards</i>	43
12.3.2	<i>Policy, Standards and Codes of Practice</i>	43
12.3.3	<i>Bushfire Prevention Activities Conducted by a Council FPO</i>	44
12.4	<i>Community Engagement, Education and Information</i>	44
12.5	<i>Landscape Treatment Investigation Areas (LTIAs)</i>	45
12.6	<i>BMA-Wide Treatment Strategies</i>	46
12.7	<i>Asset Specific Risk Treatment Allocation</i>	46
13	DRAFT BMAP REVIEW AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION	48
13.1	<i>Stakeholder and Public Comment Strategy</i>	48
13.2	<i>Draft BMAP Submissions</i>	48
14	BMAP APPROVAL PROCESS	50

14.1	<i>BMC Endorsement for Approval</i>	50
14.2	<i>SBCC Approval Process</i>	50
15	TREATMENT IMPLEMENTATION AND REPORTING	51
15.1	<i>Implementation Processes</i>	52
15.1.1	<i>SBCC</i>	53
15.1.2	<i>BMC</i>	53
16	BMAP MONITORING AND REPORTING	54
16.1	<i>BMAP Implementation Reporting</i>	54
17	BMAP UPDATES AND AMENDMENTS	56
18	AUDITING OF PLANNING PROCESS	58
19	FORMAL FOUR YEARLY BMAP REVIEW PROCESS	61

1 INTRODUCTION

Under the *Fire and Emergency Services (FES) Act 2005*, South Australia has a two-tiered structure for bushfire management – a State Bushfire Coordination Committee (SBCC) and a Bushfire Management Committee (BMC) in each of the nine Bushfire Management Areas (BMAs).

The *FES Act* specifies the planning framework that the committees are to uphold. The SBCC must prepare and keep under review the State Bushfire Management Plan (SBMP) and the BMCs must prepare and keep under review a Bushfire Management Area Plan (BMAP) for each BMA.

The SBMP sets out the framework for bushfire management in the State. This handbook details the procedure involved for the preparation and implementation of BMAPs, including:

- Steps to identify assets at risk of bushfire
- Assessment of bushfire risk to those assets
- Options to treat the identified risks
- A framework to implement risk mitigation programs
- Expectations for monitoring and reporting risk treatments
- A process for assessment and review

By documenting the BMAP procedures and guidelines in this Handbook, BMC members and stakeholders can understand the process used to assess and treat bushfire risk across the South Australian landscape.

2 SCOPE

2.1 Purpose

The purpose of this BMAP Handbook is to articulate the steps required to produce a BMAP. It provides the strategic direction to ensure consistency in the bushfire risk management approach across the State.

2.2 Aim

The aim of this Handbook is to provide guidelines and procedures to ensure BMCs and other stakeholders understand the risk assessment process and what is expected of them in the development and maintenance of a BMAP. The SBMP provides the strategic framework for BMAPs and outlines the alignment with AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 and National Emergency Risk Assessment Guidelines (NERAG). The BMAP process follows the framework of the AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 risk management process (Figure 1). Related BMAP administrative guidelines and procedures, which provide additional information on more specific BMAP processes, are listed in the 'Related documents' section of this document.




Figure 1 ISO 31000:2009 Process (pg. vi ISO 31000:2009)

2.3 Prevention, Preparedness, Response and Recovery Framework

The Australian Federal Government agency, Emergency Management Australia (EMA), recognises four types of activities that contribute to the reduction of hazards and to reducing the susceptibility of a community or environment subject to a hazard. The framework for activities is designed around the PPRR program – Prevention, Preparedness, Response and Recovery. The BMAPs are developed specifically to address bushfire prevention and preparedness. The risk assessment process for assets does not currently refer to the wider level of ongoing social, community, political, financial or business continuity consequences of losing the asset. BMAPs outline prevention/mitigation activities that aim to eliminate or reduce the impact of bushfire and reduce the susceptibility (while increasing the disaster resilience) of the community. Activities relating to bushfire response or recovery are not addressed in BMAPs, but are covered in the various regulations, programs, policies and procedures of government and non-government emergency and community service agencies.

2.4 Consideration of Risk Priority

The BMAPs identify and assess a variety of assets at risk of bushfire within the BMAs. The assets are separated into categories and sub-categories to aid prioritisation of risk reduction/elimination controls. The following considerations have been applied in the development of the BMAP:

- Protection and preservation of life
- Protection of critical infrastructure and community assets that support disaster resilience
- Protection of residential property
- Protection of assets supporting livelihoods, economic production and community financial sustainability
- Protection of cultural assets
- Protection of environmental assets at risk from bushfire
- Compliance with relevant Acts, Codes of Practice and Regulations

2.5 Assets of Aboriginal Significance

The BMAP process recognises the rights, interests and obligations of the traditional owners to speak and care for their traditional lands in accordance with their cultural beliefs and traditions. On the advice of the Department of the Premier and Cabinet – Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation Division (DPC - AARD): to prevent damage, disturbance or interference with any Aboriginal site or object, assets of Aboriginal cultural and spiritual significance will not be specifically identified in this iteration of BMAPs. Further consultation with relevant stakeholders will be undertaken on the methodology for including assets of Aboriginal significance in future iterations of BMAPs.

3 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

It is the responsibility of each BMC to develop and maintain a BMAP and to ensure treatment strategies are undertaken to reduce bushfire risk to life, property and the environment. The initial development of nine of the BMAPs was part of a project funded by the Natural Disaster Resilience Program (NDRP) in partnership with the Commonwealth and State Governments of South Australia. The CFS Bushfire Management Planning Unit (BMPU) facilitated the development of these BMAPs on behalf of the BMCs.

The continued development of the BMAP supporting processes, the provision of data to BMCs, and the collation of BMC reports to the State Bushfire Coordination committee (SBCC) will continue to be the responsibility of the BMPU. In addition, the 4-yearly review of BMAPs by each of the BMCs will be supported by the BMPU.

The Department for Environment and Water (DEW) will support BMCs by developing and facilitating the environmental risk assessment methodology, convening the Environmental Assets and Risk Assessment Panels to advise the BMCs (see **Chapter 9**) and providing data and expertise in the assessment of environmental assets.

BMAPs are prepared under specifications as determined by the SBCC and documented in the State Bushfire Management Plan (SBMP).

The SBMP is a strategic level document (required under Section 73 of the *FES Act 2005*) designed to provide policy and direction for the mitigation of bushfire risk in South Australia. It identifies major bushfire risks to life, property and the environment in the State and guides actions to mitigate those risks. The SBMP sets out the standards for preparation and implementation bushfire management planning at a regional level through the development of Bushfire Management Area Plans (BMAPs).

3.1 Minister for Emergency Services

The Minister for Emergency Services is responsible for approving the State Bushfire Management Plan (SBMP).

3.2 State Bushfire Coordination Committee (SBCC)

The SBCC provides strategic direction and coordinates decision making in bushfire management planning across the State. In addition to advising the Minister on bushfire prevention and preparedness issues, the SBCC is responsible for:

- The State-wide coordination, endorsement and promotion of policies, practices and strategies in relation to bushfire management planning
- Preparing and maintaining the State Bushfire Management Plan (SBMP)
- Ensuring strategic bushfire policies and practices are consistent with the SBMP
- Reviewing and approving Bushfire Management Area Plans (BMAPs)
- Reviewing the SBMP at least once in every 4 years and ensuring any relevant changes are reflected in the BMAPs

3.3 Bushfire Management Committees (BMCs)

Section 72B of the *FES Act*, directs the functions of BMCs and includes:

- Advising the SBCC on bushfire prevention in its area.
- Promoting the coordination of policies, practices and strategies relating to bushfire management activities within its area.
- Preparing and keeping a BMAP under review and ensuring it is consistent with the SBMP.
- Overseeing the implementation of its BMAP and reporting to the SBCC on any failure or delay in relation to the implementation of the plan.
- Preparing or initiating the development of other plans, policies, practices or strategies to promote effective bushfire management within its area.
- Convening local or regional forums to discuss issues associated with bushfire management within its area and to work with local communities to promote and improve effective bushfire management.
- Providing reports to the SBCC or Minister on any matter relevant to bushfire management within its area.

In the preparation and management of their BMAP, the BMC are responsible for:

- Determining and prioritising BMA wide bushfire risks and treatments.
- Reviewing and endorsing BMAP information and drafts.
- Determining methodologies and supporting activities for community and public consultation.
- Reporting to the SBCC on bushfire prevention and preparedness issues within their BMA.
- Reviewing their BMAP at least once in every 4 years or at the direction of the SBCC.

3.4 Local Government

Councils have a significant role in the BMAP process. They own and manage significant assets and lands, have responsibilities for planning and development approvals, and community engagement. Section 105B of the *FES Act* states 'any council that is in a rural area or contains within its area part or all of the designated urban bushfire risk area must appoint at least one person as a Fire Prevention Officer (FPO)'. Section 105C outlines the functions of a trained FPO, which includes:

- Assessing the extent of bushfire hazards within the relevant council area.
- Assisting the council in providing advice and information to the relevant BMC in preparation or review of the BMAP.
- Providing advice to owners of property in respect of bushfire prevention and management.
- Carrying out any other functions assigned to the FPO by the regulations.

In the BMAP process, councils are also responsible for:

- Providing key information on assets within their area
- Identifying and communicating bushfire risk treatments undertaken within their area
- Actively participating in BMAP public consultation activities
- Prioritising, applying and reporting on BMAP risk treatments

3.5 South Australian Country Fire Service (SACFS)

SACFS is the Hazard leader for rural fire and has responsibilities for the protection of life, property and the environment. This is undertaken through the following mechanisms:

- Legislation for the management of fuel loads and fire cause
- Operational response
- Public information and education
- Bushfire prevention activities
- Bushfire management planning

The role of Chair of the SBCC is filled by the SACFS Chief Officer and the Director of Preparedness Operations performs the role of Executive Officer for the SBCC. The agency also holds a membership position on the committee.

Similarly the BMCs are chaired by SACFS Regional Commanders with the Executive Officer roles being filled by the SACFS Regional Prevention Officer. The Executive Officer of the BMC also acts as a member of the Committee, with their role as Executive Officer being in addition to and separate from that of their responsibilities as a member. It is incumbent on Chairs and Executives of these committees to liaise with the relevant business units within SACFS.

SACFS Bushfire Management Planning Unit (BMPU)

The SACFS has established a Bushfire Management Planning Unit (BMPU) to develop, facilitate and assist each BMC with the preparation and management of its BMAP. The BMPU ensures the consistency of the risk assessment process and resultant BMAPs across the State and establishes assurance processes for the valid, reliable and repeatable collection of data. This includes the use of generic risk treatment strategies and reporting processes.

The BMPU's main functions are to:

- Develop and manage the bushfire risk framework used to create BMAPs
- Develop and manage risk assessment software, tools and website
- Facilitate the creation of standardised BMAPs across SA
- Undertake and manage stakeholder engagement
- Manage BMAP updates and processes
- Manage data exchanges and reporting
- Coordinate public consultation
- Support BMCs with BMAP implementation, monitoring and reviewing

3.6 Department for Environment and Water (DEW)

DEW is responsible for establishing and managing parks and reserves in South Australia. This protected area system includes all reserves proclaimed under the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972*, the *Wilderness Protection Act 1992*, and un-alienated Crown land and conservation reserves dedicated to the Minister for Sustainability, Environment and Conservation under the *Crown Land Management Act 2009*. DEW also administers the Heritage Agreement programme whereby conservation areas are established on private lands under the *Native Vegetation Act 1991*.

Under the *FES Act*, DEW has an obligation to manage the bushfire risk on the public lands it manages. DEW manages those risks in line with department policy and procedures through the development of Fire Management Plans, which identify fire management activities over a ten-year period for collections of parks and reserves around the state. A key strategy identified in these fire management plans is the identification of bushfire management zones for strategic fuel management.

DEW has a rolling programme of prescribed burning for fuel management, conducted in spring and autumn each year to reduce the likelihood of large bushfires developing on public lands and impacting on life, property and environmental assets. Commencing in 2016/17, DEW has increased the scope of its prescribed burning activities to include strategic locations on privately owned lands in order to further reduce the impact of bushfires on communities. Where appropriate, DEW also conducts ecological prescribed burns to enhance biodiversity (for example, regeneration of senescing vegetation) and for land management objectives such as weed management.

DEW is a SACFS Brigade under the *FES Act* and assist SACFS in bushfire response across the state (regardless of tenure), and also contribute significant resources to emergency response in the roles of incident management, aerial operations, fire behaviour analysis and mapping support across all hazards.

A cooperative approach to managing fire on public land has been developed by the Heads of Agency Agreement between DEW, the SACFS, ForestrySA and SA Water.

3.7 SA Water Corporation

SA Water is owned by the South Australian Government and manages water services in South Australia. A large proportion of land comprises water catchment areas surrounded by natural vegetation resulting in their ownership of areas of bushfire hazard. SA Water, in conjunction with DEW and ForestrySA through the Heads of Agency Agreement, annually conduct strategic fuel reduction prescribed burns to reduce the establishment and spread of bushfire from their property to neighbouring communities. Water storage and supply infrastructure exists across the South Australian landscape along with wastewater infrastructure closer to townships and settlements. SA Water is a key stakeholder in the prevention and preparedness activities identified within all BMAPs and in their ownership and management of infrastructure state-wide.

3.8 Forestry

There is a mix of government (ForestrySA) and private enterprise involved in managing forest plantations in South Australia. These agencies work in cooperation with SACFS, other land managers and neighbouring landholders to manage the threat and response to bushfire. Maintenance of annual prescribed burning programmes across the forest reserves form part of their management practices.

3.9 Additional Key Stakeholders

In addition to the committees and organisations listed above, additional key stakeholders in the BMAP process may include infrastructure agencies, industry, business organisations, conservation groups, service agencies and community. These stakeholders may be members or non-members of one or more BMCs and may have assets in one, multiple or all BMAs. The BMPU and relevant BMC engage with these stakeholders to obtain information on their assets and validate relevant information for inclusion into the BMAP.

4 BMAP BUSHFIRE RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK

The overarching Bushfire Risk Management Framework used to produce each of the BMAPs complies with the framework outlined in ISO 31000:2009 and is illustrated earlier in **Figure 1**. This ensures that information about the risk of bushfire impacting an area or identified asset follows the international standard for risk management. The BMAP framework, processes and strategies are also monitored and reviewed to identify areas of continuous improvement and for the continued updates of the BMAPs.

4.1 Bushfire Risk Information Management System (BRIMS)

The Bushfire Risk Information Management System (BRIMS) is an Information Management System (IMS) developed by the SACFS to capture the information required for a BMAP. BRIMS is an ArcGIS driven database system embedded with especially designed functions to geospatially record risk identification, risk analysis and risk treatment information for identified assets at risk from bushfire. The ArcGIS based mapping system that is used to capture the information recorded in BRIMS is known as BRIMap.

The BRIMS database enables local councils, government agencies, non-government organisations, land managers and other stakeholders to record bushfire risk treatments and develop works programmes to reduce the overall risk from bushfire to life, property and the environment. BRIMS considers the needs of all stakeholders while delivering a practical and flexible database of bushfire risk, assessment and treatment.

The BRIMS database is managed by the SACFS BMPU and the online information is hosted on the SACFS website. The SACFS BMPU update information in BRIMS and on the website as information is provided by BMCs.

5 BMAP DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

The major stages in the development of a BMAP fit into the Risk Management Framework set out by ISO 31000, and include the following steps, which are addressed in the sub-sections or chapters to follow:




Figure 2 BMAP Development Process

5.1 BMAP Initialisation

The first step in the development of a BMAP is the capture and review of existing information. Existing interim plans and other datasets are interrogated and information that may still be current and relevant is imported into BRIMS. This preliminary data is reviewed and added to during the development of the draft BMAP. This first step is primarily undertaken by BMPU; however DEW provide the relevant information for environmental assets.

5.2 Stakeholder Engagement

Throughout the development of a BMAP, the BMC members provide information regarding their assets at risk from bushfire for inclusion into the BMAP. The BMPU also engages with major stakeholders in a Bushfire Management Area (BMA) who are not represented on the BMC (e.g. commercial businesses and infrastructure owners and managers) to determine their key assets for inclusion in the BMAP for risk assessment. Information is exchanged with stakeholders either through workshops and/or data and is provided from existing GIS datasets, spreadsheets or databases.

Throughout the development of a BMAP the BMPU will consult with BMC members and other stakeholders to gather information and data. This data is refined and maps created to enable BMCs to review risk ratings and risk treatment strategies. In some instances Working Groups may need to be established to undertake this work and to provide recommendations to rest of the BMC for consideration.

Training and/or guidance in all stages of the process will be provided by the BMPU to support the continuous improvement of bushfire risk assessments and treatment strategies.

5.3 Risk and Treatment Workshops

The major workshops held during the development of a BMAP include:

5.3.1 Risk Identification and Treatment Allocation Workshops

Risk identification and treatment allocation workshops are a key activity in the capture and assessment of bushfire risk information in relation to assets. These workshops are generally hosted by local councils and attended by council staff, BMC members, stakeholders and community members. The workshop attendees assist in identifying assets, conducting risk assessments, allocating risk treatments and providing local knowledge of communities and assets.

These workshops enable the capture and review of a considerable amount of the necessary data for a BMAP; however, these workshops should not be the sole option for the collection of information required for a BMAP. Additional workshops or meetings may also be conducted with stakeholders who have information relevant to a BMAP as well as selective ground-truthing to determine the validity and reliability of assessments.

5.3.2 Risk Analysis, Evaluation and Treatment Validation

The BMPU consolidates the information captured during earlier workshops and provides the subsequent information to BMC members in formats that allow the information to be reviewed (e.g. hard copy and online maps, risk registers, treatment lists). Draft BMAP information may be distributed electronically for review but is also provided at a BMC meeting so that members can discuss any amendments as a committee. Decisions may be made as a committee (or working group of the BMC) around allocating consistent treatments to specific asset types or dependant on risk rating. These workshops may also identify areas of potential hazards and risks requiring further investigation and may recommend landscape risk reduction strategies for consideration by the BMC.

5.3.3 Area-wide Bushfire Risk Assessment Workshops

Area-wide Bushfire Risk Assessment workshops are conducted with the whole BMC, co-facilitated by BMPU, Exec Officer and Chair and use the National Emergency Risk Assessment Guidelines (NERAG) process to look at the 5 consequence categories and the risk within the BMA against those categories. See **Chapter 10** for more information.

5.4 Review, Evaluation and Recommendations

Throughout the preparation of a BMAP, government agencies involved in bushfire prevention and land management are consulted and Draft BMAP information shared for comment and validation at various stages in development. These consultation processes and the workshops outlined in Section 5.3 may identify issues and result in recommendations that require the consideration of the BMC. These issues and recommendations are collated and provided to the BMC for determination.

See **Chapter 11** for more information regarding Risk Evaluation.

6 BUSHFIRE RISK IDENTIFICATION

Assets to be included in a BMAP are those that are valued by the community and are at risk from bushfire. People with appropriate local knowledge are involved in identifying the assets. Relevant and current background data can also be recorded to assist with BMC decision making. The objective is to generate a comprehensive list of assets at risk that can also be viewed spatially. Risk analysis will assist in setting treatment options and priorities.

For information regarding the risk assessment methodology for environmental assets refer to **Chapter 9**.

6.1 Bushfire Safer Places (BSPs) and Last Resort Refuges (LRR)

Bushfire Safer Places (BSPs) have been established throughout the State and generally incorporate the central areas of townships and settlements. Assets that exist within these areas are generally not at risk from the direct bushfire impact and need not be included in assessments. However, any assets that are on or just in the outer boundary of a BSP are considered to be at risk of bushfire impact and should be considered for inclusion and be risk assessed. The BMAP process may identify potential changes to the boundaries of BSPs. BMCs can also request a reassessment of a BSP boundary should there be a new residential development or other change in land use.

Last Resort Refuges (LRRs) are generally spaces (i.e. ovals) or buildings that are intended to provide a place of relative safety during a bushfire. There is a minimum level of protection to those sheltering at these sites from the immediate life threatening effects of radiant heat and direct flame contact in a bushfire. Signage management and the process for assessing new LRRs or reassessment of potentially unsuitable LRRs is undertaken by CFS Preparedness Operations. LRRs are identified in BMAPs as Human Settlement assets in the Asset Category of Special Fire Protection. Treatments are allocated to these sites to ensure they are maintained during the FDS and any compliance issues are reported to the BMC.

6.2 Inclusion of Assets into BRIMS

Assets identified to be at risk from bushfire, together with all relevant information relating to the risks and existing and proposed treatment strategies, can be captured within BRIMS. This allows for a single repository for all current and future assessments to be managed and maintained centrally. BRIMS allows for assets at risk from bushfire to be spatially identified by a point, line or polygon within a BMA.

Where possible, assets of the same type, category and ownership can be grouped rather than identified on an individual basis, though this can only be done where assets have the same risk characteristics and treatments. For example, a street of dwellings abutting a forested area all face the same risk of impact from fire and the treatment will most likely be the same for all houses and the street. Wherever the risk inputs differ then a new asset assessment is undertaken.

Asset Types, Categories and Sub-Categories

Assets are divided into four asset types: Human Settlement, Economic, Cultural Heritage and Environmental. Each of these asset types is further divided into Categories as shown in **Table 1**. Where an asset can be described by more than one asset type, it can be assessed separately under each asset type as the assessment criteria are different.

Asset Type	Asset Category
Human Settlement	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Residential</i>• <i>Special Fire Protection</i>
Economic	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Infrastructure</i>• <i>Commercial or Industrial</i>
Cultural Heritage	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Indigenous</i>• <i>Non-indigenous</i>
Environmental	<i>Refer Chapter 9 for more info regarding sub-categories and risk assessment methodology</i>

Table 1 Asset Categories and Sub-Categories used in the BMAP

6.2.1 Human Settlement Assets

Human Settlement assets are those assets which are likely to be occupied or used by people; therefore, there is the potential for loss of human life. **Table 2** outlines the two Human Settlement asset categories with sub-category examples.

Human Settlement Category	Sub-Category Examples
Residential	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Urban areas, a street or group of streets along a bushland interface
Special Fire Protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Schools, Kindergartens or Childcare centres• Hospitals, Aged care facilities and retirement villages• Hotels, motels and other tourist accommodation, including campgrounds and caravan parks• Tourism and recreational facilities• Commercial and/or industrial• Highways or Major Roads

Table 2 Human Settlement Sub-Categories and Examples

Residential assets are represented spatially as polygons and Special Fire Protection assets as points. Roads can be considered a Human Settlement asset because of their potential to be used for public relocation or evacuation during bushfire. Roads are drawn spatially as a line.

Residential assets may be mapped at a range of spatial scales, depending on the number of properties and the vegetation type near the asset. Where an area is bound by different vegetation types then the area should be split into a number of polygons. Where a dispersed cluster of assets with common characteristics, risk factors and treatments are located in a known and identifiable locality (such as a cluster of semi-rural residences), it may not be possible or practical to display these spatially with multiple individual points or as a polygon (i.e. these asset clusters are too dispersed to use the distinct boundary of a polygon). These areas can be identified in BRIMap by selecting the ‘represents wider area’ field. This allows for these dispersed asset clusters to be displayed spatially using alternative symbology such as a large symbol (usually a diamond shape) located in the central area of the locality. The title of asset cluster will always contain the word ‘Locality’, as in ‘Longwood Locality’.

As it is unfeasible to risk assess every household or parcel of land, BMC members and other workshop attendees guide the decision making regarding which assets are to be risk assessed.

6.2.2 Economic Assets

Economic assets are those assets of significance to the local community, region, State or Nation. **Table 3** outlines the three Economic asset categories and sub-category examples.

Economic Category	Sub-Category Examples
Commercial or Industrial	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Major industries • Sawmills • Plantations • Commercial Native Forests
Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large Power Lines • Gas and Oil Pipelines • Railways Lines • Electrical Sub-stations • Communication Facilities • Waste Treatment Plants • Water Supply and Storage

Table 3 Economic Sub-Categories and Examples

Economic assets are represented spatially as a point unless the BMC deem a polygon necessary for display purposes, such as in the case of representing forestry plantations and industrial areas on the outskirts of townships.

6.2.3 Cultural Heritage Assets

Cultural Heritage assets currently include non-indigenous historical and heritage areas, places and buildings which are of community value. **Table 4** below outlines the Cultural Heritage asset categories and some sub-category examples.

Cultural Heritage Category	Sub-Category Examples
Non-indigenous	<p>Assets of local community value.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community Halls • Churches • Sports Clubs • Recreational Facilities • Historic Sites, Ruins and Heritage Buildings
Indigenous	<p>To be included in future iterations of BMAPs following further consultation and guidance from indigenous communities</p>

Table 4 Cultural Heritage Asset Categories and Examples

Cultural Heritage assets are represented spatially as a point. Information from heritage registers is presented to workshop participants and each item discussed for inclusion taking into consideration the importance of that asset to the community or if it has historical significance. This information is also provided to local council for verification by staff, elected members or people with local historical knowledge, and additional information is gathered through public consultation processes.

6.2.4 Environmental Assets

Below is summary table (**Table 5**) of the categories under which environmental assets at risk from bushfire are identified. Further detail regarding the risk identification and risk assessment methodology for environmental assets is covered **Chapter 9**.

Environmental Asset Categories	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Threatened ecological community • Significant Habitat 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reserve System • Flora • Fauna

Table 5 Environmental Asset Categories

7 THE NATURE AND TYPES OF CAUSES OF BUSHFIRE

In South Australia, even though the threat of a bushfire is present throughout every Fire Danger Season, the severity and intensity of the bushfire threat may fluctuate in each bushfire event. The severity and intensity of a bushfire is dependent on three main factors:

- Vegetation
- Topography
- Weather

The vegetation and topography for an area is measurable and forms part of the risk assessment. However, weather is always variable both locally and across the State. Therefore, when a bushfire risk assessment is undertaken, the weather conditions are defined as those the local area would experience on a day with a Fire Danger Rating (FDR) of Extreme.

A FDR of Extreme means that a bushfire, once it takes hold, would be unpredictable, fast moving and difficult to bring under control. Spot fires will start and move quickly and embers may come from many directions.

It is under these fire weather conditions that risk assessment workshop attendees and other stakeholders determine which of the assets would be at risk from bushfire. The local knowledge of fire weather and fire behaviour experienced in the past is an invaluable input into the BMAP process as it aids in the determination of likelihood and impact of bushfire.

8 BUSHFIRE RISK ASSESSMENT

The risk assessments process analyses the likelihood and consequence of an event occurring to determine the susceptibility (or level of preparedness) that an asset has to fire. These factors combine to give an overall appreciation of the level of risk.

A number of risk criteria are used to determine the likelihood and consequence of a bushfire risk in this process. These criteria are assessed and form the basis to determine bushfire risk levels for each asset identified within a BMAP.

The process for determining consequence, likelihood and overall risk for Human Settlement, Cultural Heritage and Economic assets are outlined in **Chapter 8**. **Chapter 11** outlines the risk evaluation process. The methodology for determining risk to Environmental Assets is outlined in **Chapter 9**.

8.1 Bushfire Risk Criteria

Bushfire risk criteria concerns the elements of likelihood and consequence, relevant to bushfire, which are assessed during the risk assessment process. When defining bushfire risk criteria, the principal factors (as outlined in AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009) to be considered include:

- The nature and types of causes of the risk
- How [likelihood](#) will be defined
- What [consequences](#) can occur and how they will be measured
- How the [overall level](#) of risk will be determined
- How the [acceptable or tolerable level](#) of risk will be determined

8.2 Likelihood

Likelihood in the BMAP risk assessment framework is based on the level of influence of the following factors:

- Fire History
- Ignition potential – type (land use driven) and frequency
- Vegetation - type and composition
- Fire Breaks and Access Tracks – interruption of spread of fire

When all elements are considered as a whole, they provide the risk criteria for assessing the potential for a bushfire to ignite, establish, spread across the landscape, intensify and impact on an asset. Local knowledge of these elements can improve the accuracy of the likelihood rating.

There are four categories of likelihood outcomes that can occur as a result of the risk assessment process, as outlined in **Table 6**.

Unlikely	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Very few potential ignition sources ▪ Low probability of ignitions establishing ▪ Very sparse or low combustion vegetation to enable fire to spread ▪ Significant bushfire risk treatments in place
Possible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Some history of ignitions and fire ▪ Low fuel loads ▪ Bushfire may establish and spread in the right conditions ▪ Some treatments may be in place to inhibit fire spread
Likely	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ History of ignitions and fire ▪ Continuous or corridors or areas of moderate to heavy vegetation ▪ Bushfire will establish and spread in the right conditions ▪ Treatments to inhibit the spread of fire may be limited or not possible
Almost Certain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Significant history of ignitions and fires ▪ Land use contributes to frequent ignitions ▪ Fires are likely to establish and spread ▪ Very high to extreme fuel loads with contiguous vegetation ▪ Treatments are not possible, have not been implemented comprehensively or only partially effective

Table 6 Likelihood Rating Scale

8.3 Consequence

Consequence, as applied to BMAPs, refers to the consequence to the asset itself from being impacted by bushfire. For example, whether or not it will burn down, cease to function, or if people will be injured. It does not refer to the wider level of ongoing social, community, political, financial or business continuity consequences of losing the asset. These broader consequences are to be considered using the *National Emergency Risk Assessment Guidelines (NERAG)*. Refer **Chapter 10**

The criteria used to calculate the consequence of a bushfire impacting on an asset are the *Bushfire Attack Level (BAL)* and *Susceptibility*. Note that the susceptibility of Human Settlement assets is assessed differently to that of Economic and Cultural Heritage Assets.

Consequence ratings explained:

Very Minor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No fatalities Minor injuries possible Inconsequential to no damage to asset with minor to no disruption to use, function or operation
Minor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No fatalities Minor injuries to people generally treatable by on-site first aid that may require only short term additional treatment Localised damage to assets requiring minor repairs and limited or short disruption to use, function or operation
Moderate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Injuries requiring medical intervention by ambulance or hospitalisation Damage to assets requiring major repairs Short to medium disruption to its use, function or operation
Major	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant level of injuries with possible fatalities Significant damage to assets and infrastructure that may be beyond repair or require significant time and resources to repair Medium to long term disruption to asset/infrastructure use, function or operation
Catastrophic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multiple fatalities, large numbers requiring hospitalisation possible Significant damage/destruction to multiple assets and infrastructure

Table 7 Consequence Rating Scale

8.4 Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) – Radiant Heat Threat

Determining the bushfire attack level (BAL) involves estimating the radiant heat that is likely to be generated from a bushfire impacting an asset. The estimation is based on the Australian Standard 3959-2009 – Construction of buildings in bushfire-prone areas. A BAL assessment takes into consideration the type of vegetation, the separation distance of the asset from the vegetation and the effective slope of the vegetation in relation to the asset. The BAL is determined through consultation with specific tables in AS 3959-2009 using a Fire Danger Index (FDI) of 100.

8.5 Susceptibility of Human Settlement Assets

This refers to the susceptibility of the people associated with an asset or group of assets.

This assessment is based on the combination of three elements of inherent community factors. They include the attributes of the people who live in the area, the preparedness level/construction standard of the assets and environmental factors (**Table 8**).

Community Factors	Attribute
People The social and physical capacity of people to prepare and respond to bushfire	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Physical ability and decision making capacity• Residential status• Bushfire awareness• Experience with past bushfires
Asset Preparedness The level of preparedness of an asset and the presence of defensible space around the asset.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Construction material of the asset• General condition of the asset• Availability of water and equipment to defend property• Residents able and willing to defend their own property• Defensible space around the asset• Access and egress for residents and firefighters
Environmental Preparedness	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Topography

Table 8 Susceptibility of Human Settlement Assets

The following decision trees, **Figure 3** and **Figure 4**, are used to determine susceptibility for Human Settlement asset categories.




Figure 3 Human Susceptibility – Residential Assets




Figure 4 Human Susceptibility – Special Fire Protection Assets

8.6 Susceptibility of Economic and Cultural Heritage Assets (Built Structures)

The susceptibility of a built structure being adversely impacted by bushfire is dependent on how the asset was constructed and to what standard (Australian Standard 3959 or not), the material used to construct it, as well as its age and general condition. This is represented as Structural Types (1 to 5) in **Table 9**.

Economic and Cultural Heritage Structural Types	
Type 1	Extreme susceptibility to direct flame, radiant heat, sparks and embers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timber building or structure • Open hay shed, store or work area • Indigenous structure or modified tree • Tarpaulin grain bunkers • Caravans & transportable buildings • Vegetation or organic materials
Type 2	High susceptibility to direct flame, radiant heat, sparks and embers Stone, brick, concrete or steel building or structure (not built to AS3959:2009) with exposed timber components or additions; vents, grills and openings around or into the interior of the building <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mud brick or daub building • Historic homestead • Stone, brick, concrete or steel building traditionally constructed (not built to AS3959:2009)
Type 3	High susceptibility to direct flame, moderate susceptibility to radiant heat, low susceptibility to sparks and embers Buildings built to AS3959:2009 or other steel or stone structures built with minimal exposure of interior combustible materials (timber, insulation etc.) to heat, sparks and embers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Post 2009, offices, commercial buildings
Type 4	Moderate susceptibility to direct flame, low susceptibility to radiant heat, sparks and embers Concrete or steel building or structure generally containing interior or exterior components of low combustibility and susceptible only to direct flame or extreme radiant heat <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telecommunication exchanges & towers • Electrical sub-stations • Pumping or treatment plants • Silos & wind farm towers • Rubbish transfer station infrastructure • Railway lines with timber sleepers
Type 5	Low susceptibility to direct flame, radiant heat, sparks and embers Concrete or steel building or structure generally containing interior or exterior components of low combustibility and susceptible only to direct flame or extreme radiant heat <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cemeteries • Stone ruins • Concrete or steel pipelines, bridges or water tanks

Table 9 Economic and Cultural Heritage Asset Susceptibility Rating

8.7 Consequence matrix – Human Settlement Assets

When the BAL and susceptibility assessment are complete, the matrix (Figure 5) is used to determine the consequence rating to a Human Settlement asset.




Figure 5 Consequence Matrix – Human Settlement Assets

8.8 Consequence Matrix – Economic and Cultural Heritage Assets

The matrix below is used to assess the consequence rating for Economic and Cultural Heritage assets (Figure 6).

		BUSHFIRE ATTACK LEVEL (BAL)				
		No Radiant Heat Threat (>100m)	Low (BAL <12.5)	Medium (BAL 19)	High (BAL 29)	Very High (BAL 40)
SUSCEPTIBILITY (Economic & Cultural Heritage)	Type 1					
	Type 2					
	Type 3					
	Type 4					
	Type 5					

Negligible Minor Moderate Major Catastrophic

Figure 6 Consequence Matrix – Economic and Cultural Heritage Assets

8.9 Overall Risk Matrices

The methodology for determining likelihood and consequence is dependent on the asset type (Human Settlement, Cultural Heritage, Economic or Environmental). The figures below show the risk matrices used by BRIMS to determine the overall risk rating for Human Settlement assets (Figure 7) and for Economic and Cultural Heritage assets (Figure 8).

		CONSEQUENCE (Human Settlement)				
		Very Minor	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
LIKELIHOOD	Almost Certain					
	Likely					
	Possible					
	Unlikely					

Low Medium High Very High Extreme

Figure 7 Overall Risk Rating Matrix – Human Settlement Assets

		CONSEQUENCE (Cultural Heritage & Economic)				
		Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
LIKELIHOOD	Almost Certain					
	Likely					
	Possible					
	Unlikely					







Negligible  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Extreme 

Figure 8 Overall Risk Rating Matrix – Economic and Cultural Heritage Assets

9 RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS

A [standard for the identification and risk assessment of South Australian environmental assets from bushfire](#) has been developed by the Ecological Technical Reference Group and supported by the State Bushfire Coordination Committee. **Figure 9** outlines the steps involved in the methodology.




Figure 9 An overview of the steps taken to assess risk to environmental assets from bushfire in South Australia

The Standard allows BMCs to conduct detailed assessment of the risk to environmental assets in their BMA which may be negatively impacted by bushfire, whilst using a methodology which is consistently applied in all BMAs across the state. **Figure 10** below lists the categories of assets to be identified and assessed.

Environmental Asset Category	Environmental asset category description
Community nominated environmental assets	Threatened ecological community Flora Fauna Significant habitat Reserve System
Threatened ecological community	EPBC threatened ecological community listed as threatened under the Commonwealth <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> State threatened ecological community listed under the <i>South Australian Provisional List of Threatened Ecosystems of South Australia</i> (DEH, in progress)
Flora Fauna	Migratory species protected under international agreements and the listed as threatened under the Commonwealth <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> EPBC native flora or fauna species listed as threatened under the Commonwealth <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> State native flora or fauna species listed as threatened under the <i>South Australian National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972</i>
Significant habitat	Key species habitat High quality habitat
Reserve system (native vegetation contained in South Australian terrestrial reserve systems)	Wetlands of international importance listed under the Ramsar Convention and the Commonwealth <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> Wetlands of national importance listed under the Commonwealth <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> Natural world heritage properties listed under the Commonwealth <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> Natural national heritage places listed under the Commonwealth <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> Wilderness protection areas declared under the South Australian <i>Wilderness Protection Act 1992</i> Heritage agreements declared under the South Australian <i>Native Vegetation Act 1991</i> Reserves declared under the South Australian <i>National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972</i> Native forest reserves declared under the South Australian <i>Forestry Act 1950</i>

	Forest conservation areas declared under the South Australian <i>Forestry Act 1950</i> Reservoir reserves under the care and control of SA Water Groundwater basins under the care and control of SA Water Roadside reserves identified under the Roadside Marker Scheme in accordance with the Native Vegetation Council's <i>Guidelines for Management of Roadside Vegetation</i>
Environmental Assets and Risk Advisory Panel	Threatened ecological community Flora Fauna Significant habitat Reserve system

Figure 10 Environmental asset categories and sub categories types

The outputs of the risk assessment are included into BMAPs and allow for priority to be assigned to the identified risks. Risk treatment options for highest priority assets are identified and prioritised to reduce risk.

As with the other categories of assets (Human Settlement, Cultural Heritage and Economic) the above set of steps are repeated every four years, or earlier, if required by the BMC or SBCC.

For further detail regarding this process refer to the [Standard for the identification and risk assessment of South Australian environmental assets from bushfire 2017](#).

10 PROPOSED METHODOLOGY FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF BMA-WIDE BUSHFIRE RISKS

The asset based risk assessment process utilised in BRIMS enables land managers, councils, and agencies responsible for vulnerable or vital community infrastructure to identify and plan bushfire risk reduction works pertinent to specific assets.

However, in order to define priority works to reduce the risk of bushfire across broad sections or a whole Bushfire Management Area (BMA), an area wide risk assessment is required. The following methodology is proposed for such an assessment to be undertaken by the Bushfire Management Committee.

The BMA-wide risk assessment process is based on the National Emergency Risk Assessment Guidelines (NERAG2). This ensures the process is consistent with current emergency service sector risk assessment methodologies in South Australia. NERAG2 provides an all hazards state emergency-related risk assessment methodology consistent with the Australian Standard International standard AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 risk management – principles and guidelines framework. NERAG2 defines a scalable process to reflect local particulars for five defined consequence categories:

- People
- Economy
- Environment
- Social setting
- Public administration

Each of the BMAs is analysed using the scales within each of the consequence categories to determine the level of bushfire risk across the Bushfire Management Area. Changes or re-assessment of risk levels within the Bushfire Management Area in each of the consequence categories should be considered as part of the 4-yearly review of the plan or as directed by the SBCC.

10.1 Methodology for Identifying Bushfire Risks in the BMA

An assessment is to be undertaken for each of the Consequence Categories for a number of bushfire scenarios to determine which are of the highest risk to the BMA. This assessment will be undertaken considering the following bushfire scenarios.

- **Ngarkat/Bangor/Pastoral** – A campaign fire in a remote location. Burning for many days, exceeding local firefighting resources and disrupting local services and transport. Few built assets threatened. May be in inaccessible or difficult terrain. Tends to have relatively slow moving fire front.
- **Sampson Flat** – An intense fire close to populated areas. Community members may be unaware and unprepared. Many road closures. Smoke impact and ember attack in the outer suburbs. Poorly prepared properties.
- **Ash Wednesday/Black Saturday** – Multiple significant fires across the state, burning under catastrophic conditions. Local brigades and IMT quickly overwhelmed requiring supplementation

from interstate/overseas. At this scale, human life and economic loss is inevitable. Conditions will lead to a cascade of events and failures of systems.

- **Dry lightning causing multiple fire starts across a broad landscape** – A large number of small fires that quickly overwhelm capacity.
- **Pinery/Wangary** – A large, fast moving fire, principally burning in grassland or cropland. May be difficult to suppress. May be burning under catastrophic conditions.

The BMA-wide risk assessment methodology will identify potential consequences should the above scenarios occur and the likelihood of these occurring. This will provide an overall risk rating for potential outcomes across a BMA. BMCs will then need to assign treatment options to address these risks. The outcomes of this process will assist in guiding the priorities for prevention programmes that target larger areas and populations.

11 BUSHFIRE RISK EVALUATION

Risk evaluation is undertaken to assist in decision making around what needs treatment and the treatment priority. Decision making should take into account the wider context of the risk and risk tolerance. Decisions need to take into account any legal and regulatory requirements. Decisions are made around the following questions:

- a) Is the level of risk acceptable?
- b) Is additional analysis of the risk required to further understand the true risk?
- c) Do the risks need additional treatments?
- d) Are the existing treatments appropriate or do they need to be modified or removed? and
- e) Are there any priorities for treatment?

Workshops are conducted by BMPU with the BMC and other stakeholder groups to review and validate risk ratings to all identified assets in the BMAP prior to a public consultation period.

11.1 Risk Analysis Outcomes

The overall risk ratings are derived through assessing the specific risk criteria to determine the likelihood of a bushfire threatening an asset and the level of impact on an asset. The results for each risk criteria are divided into the risk rating scales listed below.

The bushfire risk rating results provide a scale by which risk between assets in each separate asset type and category can be compared to one another. The susceptibility of Human Settlement assets is assessed under different criteria to Economic and Cultural Heritage assets and therefore direct comparisons in risk ratings across asset categories is not appropriate or legitimate.

The risk ratings assist in determining the risk treatment strategies that need to be implemented to ensure that targeted treatments are applied to reduce the overall bushfire risk. These scales also provide the basis for determining the priority for which assets are to be treated.

Risk Ratings explained:



Negligible (N/A)

Properties and assets are constructed of materials that are unlikely to be impacted by bushfire and/or vegetation is at a significant distance away or virtually absent from the surrounding landscape.



Low

Properties and assets are well prepared or defensible from the potential impacts from a bushfire. Surrounding vegetation is either likely to be a significant distance away or of low levels.



Medium

Properties and assets are likely to be defensible with little preparation, although surrounding vegetation or topography still poses some risk.



High

Properties and assets that are not prepared for a bushfire or don't have adequate separation distance or firefighting amenities. Assets are susceptible to the impacts of bushfire as fire intensity and behaviour is driven by surrounding vegetation and topography.



Very High

Properties and assets require special consideration to the impacts of bushfire. Bushfires are likely to be able to reach assets with high intensity with only low expectations of being able to defend assets.



Extreme

Assets and properties are highly susceptible with heavy ember attack and likely flame contact from nearby flammable materials. There are limited options for safe egress or areas that offer sufficient access to defend a property from the effects of a bushfire due to continuous or dense vegetation or challenging topography.

11.2 Tolerable Bushfire Risk Level

When evaluating bushfire risk the BMC needs to determine whether the risk level for the identified assets is tolerable. This may be determined for each asset or for an entire asset type or category. This can be expressed in terms of accepting the overall risk level so as to secure certain benefits i.e. living, working or recreating in a bush or rural environment. A tolerable level of risk may be defined by the fact that in some circumstances it may not be possible to reduce the overall risk rating due to the nature of the asset. This may be due to the physical location or construction materials of the asset or the attitude of residents to risk. Further to this, it may not be possible to reduce the risk further under current treatment strategies without expenditure of costs that are disproportionate to the benefit gained or where the solution is impractical to implement.

Therefore to determine the acceptable level of risk there may be a trade-off between risk treatments and risk exposures with consideration of what can be reasonably practical to achieve. To determine a tolerable level of bushfire risk for each asset the evaluation process needs to take into account:

- a) The likelihood risk criteria – potential for ignition, establish and spread
- b) The consequence risk criteria – radiant heat threat, susceptibility
- c) The availability and suitability of ways to mitigate or eliminate the risk
- d) The cost associated with eliminating or minimising the risk
- e) The communities willingness to accept the risk level to maintain an assets benefits

On an ongoing basis accepted risk levels should be reviewed. A level of bushfire risk that may have been tolerable in the past may become unacceptable due to changes in circumstances, risk criteria, or in social, political, legislative or regulatory environments.

11.3 Prioritising Bushfire Risk Treatments

The Overall Risk Rating matrix does not adequately prioritise risks as it does not consider the asset type (Human Settlement, Economic, Cultural Heritage or Environmental) and it is limited by the representation of only two dimensions of bushfire risk - likelihood and consequence. Therefore, the overall risk ratings cannot be the only element used to determine the level of urgency for allocating and implementing treatment strategies.

When prioritising treatments for assets contained within the BMAP, consideration must be given to:

- The type of asset at risk from bushfire
- The level for a specific risk that is acceptable (tolerable)
- Whether the desired risk level is achievable through current or proposed treatment strategies

Not all assets with an extreme risk rating will require treatments to reduce the risk as the level of risk may have been deemed tolerable. Guidance on treatment priorities may also be derived from outcomes from BMA wide workshops (**Chapter 10**).

The variation in structures, services, budgets and resources of the numerous agencies involved in and responsible for bushfire risk mitigation make a standard prioritisation process too restrictive given the many factors that can and should be considered in the selection and implementation of risk treatments.

BMC members and stakeholders are provided with Risk Registers to review assets that fall under their responsibility. They will need to prioritise risk treatments based on the above criteria and their knowledge around factors such as: business continuity, replacement costs, and community resilience factors. Risk Registers can be sorted based on the risk criteria that a treatment may be attempting to address. An agency may choose to prioritise based on risk rating and then further prioritise based on a risk driver such as radiant heat or susceptibility.

12 RISK TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Bushfires cannot be eliminated from the landscape; however, a combination of risk treatment strategies can be applied to help protect people, assets and the environment from the impacts of bushfire. Treatment options include reducing either the likelihood and/or impact of bushfire, improving the capacity of people to prepare and respond to bushfire, enhancing the ability of firefighting agencies to access and suppress bushfires and limiting the spread of bushfire.

Risk treatments are activities used to modify the characteristics of a hazard to reduce either the likelihood and/or consequence of bushfire to an asset.

Risk treatment is a cyclical process of:

- Assessing a risk treatment
- Deciding whether resultant risk levels are tolerable
- If not tolerable, finding an alternative treatment
- Assessing the effectiveness of the treatment

Selecting the most appropriate risk treatment option involves balancing the effectiveness, effort, impact and cost of the treatment against the benefits derived from undertaking the treatment. Many aspects need to be considered in the selection and implementation of a treatment such as:

- Does it comply with legislation?
- Is it socially responsible?
- What is the impact on the natural environment?
- Does the amount of reduction in the risk justify the cost and resources required?

Consideration also needs to be given to how the implementation of any treatments will be perceived by stakeholders and the community and if there is a potential for unintended consequences.

Treatment information is captured during risk identification and treatment allocation workshops and further reviewed by individual stakeholders responsible for risk treatment implementation. The BMC then reviews allocated risk treatments to assess consistency, omissions and other factors.

Risk treatment strategies address the risk to assets and may also address risks that impact across large sections or an entire BMA.

Risk Treatment Strategies are classified into a number of overarching categories:

- Land and Property Management
- Legislative, Development Control and Administrative
- Community Engagement, Education and Information

These strategies are then further divided into potential actions (see **Table 10**) which may be need to be adjusted by the treatment agency dependent on practicalities, resourcing, equipment requirements, environmental considerations etc.

Treatment Strategy	Example Treatment Actions
Land and Property Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Property Preparedness • Fuel reduction • Asset Protection Zone • Bushfire Buffer Zone • Fire breaks and fire access tracks
Legislative and Administrative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy and procedures • Bushfire Prevention Activities by council FPO • Council Planning and Development Policy and Standards
Community Engagement, Education and Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State-wide awareness campaign • Information provision • Education programmes • Community development programmes

Table 10 Risk Treatment Strategies and example Risk Treatment Actions

12.1 Asset Specific Risk Treatment Strategies and Actions

Risk treatment strategies and actions are allocated to individual assets within the Asset Risk and Treatment Register and are designed to mitigate specific elements of the risk i.e. the radiant heat, susceptibility of the asset to spark, ember, fire brand, the intensity of the bushfire and/or the potential of a bushfire starting and establishing. The agency (or agencies) that may be responsible for implementing a treatment is documented in BRIMS.

Asset risk treatment strategies are further developed by the asset owners and/or land managers in their specific implementation or works plans, which will detail actions and timeframes. Actions may be determined at the implementation planning stage by the treatment agency. Where the treatment aims to mitigate a social risk rather than a physical risk, it is important to note that risk treatments will need to be implemented for a population (e.g. demographic or wider geographic community area) rather than against an individual asset.

BRIMS allows for the collection of the following fields and these form the final Risk and Treatment Register:

- Treatment Strategy
- Treatment Action
- Risk driver
- Financial year (year 1, 2, 3, 4)
- Treatment agencies (these fields are for any party that may be involved in decision making around actions, and are likely to, though may not necessarily, be responsible for implementing on ground works. Where multiple agencies are identified they are not listed in order of priority.

- Status (existing or proposed)
- Notes

Some of these fields are not displayed as part of the publically available Risk and Treatment Register as they may contain confidential information.

12.2 Land and Property Management

Treatment actions that fall under this risk treatment strategy include, but may not be limited to:

12.2.1 Property Preparedness

Property preparedness relates to action taken by landholders or occupiers to reduce the risk of bushfire impacting on a house or other buildings. The primary focus of property preparedness should be the reduction of fuel hazards adjacent to assets to reduce the risk of structures being damaged or ignited by direct flame contact or radiant heat. It will also reduce the risk from wind-blown sparks and embers that are generated by bushfires. Property preparedness activities should include:

- Managing vegetation and removing fine vegetation fuels (long grass, dried leaves, shrubs etc.)
- Undertaking structural modifications and maintenance activities
- Establishing water supply and reticulation

If the occupants plan to stay and defend their home during a bushfire, having a well prepared property is essential.

12.2.2 Asset Protection Zones (A-Zones)

An Asset Protection Zone (A-Zone) surrounds or is adjacent to an asset. Asset Protection Zones are designed to reduce fire spread, intensity, radiant heat and direct flame contact to an asset. The location of an APZ should include areas such as existing cleared areas, roads and driveways which already have low fuels. .

An Asset Protection Zone may be used to reduce the risk of impacts to assets identified in the BMAP Risk Register, for example residential buildings, industrial, commercial or heritage buildings, essential infrastructure and cultural or environmental assets.

For current information on A-Zones please refer to the [Bushfire Management Zone Standard and Guidance for Use](#)

12.2.3 Bushfire Buffer Zones (B-Zones)

A Bushfire Buffer Zone (B-Zone) is an area, beyond an A-Zone, where additional fuel management can reduce the risk of bushfire impact on assets. B-Zones often complement an A-Zone around a significant asset.

B-Zones may also be used to provide strategic fuel reduced areas, which may include fuel breaks through or around a large block of vegetation, with the aim of reducing:

- the impact of bushfire burning a whole large block of native vegetation or several adjacent smaller areas of native vegetation
- the potential for a bushfire to burn out of vegetated land into surrounding land
- the potential for a bushfire to burn into vegetated land from surrounding land

For current information on B-Zones please refer to the [Bushfire Management Zone Standard and Guidance for Use](#).

12.2.4 Firebreaks and Fire Access Tracks

Firebreaks and fire access tracks are strategic fire management measures which are implemented to assist with bushfire mitigation or suppression. The standard for firebreaks and tracks has been defined in the South Australian Firebreaks, Fire Access Tracks and Sign Standards Guidelines (2015 Government Agencies Fire Management Working Group GAFMWG) and endorsed by the SBCC.

A firebreak is an area or strip of land where vegetation has been removed or modified to reduce the intensity and rate of spread of fire that may occur. It is important to understand that firebreaks cannot be expected to prevent the forward movement of moderate to high intensity fires, particularly where spotting is likely to occur.

A fire access track is designed, constructed and maintained for the safe passage of firefighting vehicles undertaking fire suppression activities. There are three classifications of fire access tracks, each with their own predetermined standards. See the [GAFMWG](#) Standard document for further information on firebreaks, fire access tracks and sign standards.

12.2.5 Prescribed Burning

Prescribed burning is the controlled application of fire under specified environmental conditions to a predetermined area and at the time, intensity, and rate of spread required to attain planned resource management objectives. Prescribed burning is a tool used to achieve fuel hazard reduction management for bushfire risk mitigation and to achieve environmental, land management and research objectives.

The State Government agencies of DEW, SA Water and ForestrySA collaborate to undertake prescribed burning at a landscape scale across the public lands they manage. This is guided by the Code of Practice for Fire Management on Public Land in South Australia, which specifies a consistent, cooperative year-round prevention and suppression effort in order to reduce the size, frequency and impact of bushfires from public land on communities, infrastructure and the environment.

While this fuel reduction work has significantly reduced the risks posed by bushfires within and surrounding the public land estate, it is recognised that for the greatest risk reduction to be realised, prescribed burn programmes must be applied at a landscape scale across both publically and privately owned land. The State Government has recently directed SACFS and DEW to increase the scope of its prescribed burning activities to include strategic locations on privately owned lands. This work is underway, with a pilot programme of prescribed burning on private lands being conducted initially in the AMLR BMA, with other areas of the state to follow.

Private landholders interested in prescribed burning should be mindful of the approval processes for such works (e.g. from the SACFS) and the sometimes complex operational requirements to reduce fuels through prescribed burning in a safe way.

Information on prescribed burns can be found on the following link: [DEW Prescribed Burns](#)

12.3 Legislative, Development Control and Administrative

Treatment actions that fall under this risk treatment strategy include, but may not be limited to:

12.3.1 Council Planning and Development Policy and Standards

Key objectives outlined within planning strategy documents and Development Plans across Government and in local area Development Plans (as required under [Section 22 of the Development Act 1993](#)), should give consideration to the protection of life, property and assets including infrastructure, the region's cultural heritage (indigenous and non-indigenous) and environmental assets from hazards such as bushfire.

Ministers and or Local Government may amend such policies and strategies in order to accurately address key objectives relative to the risk identified in their local area.

Current planning policies relating to bushfire risk, contained in relevant Development Plans, may be reviewed in this context as part of future Development Plan amendments. Notably, the State Government has embarked on the implementation of key planning reforms over the next 1-5 years, as part of a new planning system and the [Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act, 2016](#). Pending the timing and sequencing of the introduction of new planning rules and governance systems, there is potential to also review future policy approaches relating to bushfire risk and asset protection through this process.

12.3.2 Policy, Standards and Codes of Practice

The policies, standards and codes of practice refer to current overarching bushfire management practices, performance measures and desired outcomes of activities undertaken on private and public lands generally during the Fire Danger Season (FDS). They provide a framework for the safe and effective management of potential ignition sources and fire on private and public land in South Australia both during and outside of FDS. Examples include Codes of Practice on Pile Burning, Harvesting and Use of Wood Ovens, and policies requiring permits for fire activities.

This strategy may also include emergency management policies that individual organisations such as schools, health and community services and utilities require to mitigate bushfire risk to their assets and people. Examples may include facility closure or restricted staff travel through high risk areas on catastrophic fire danger forecasts.

12.3.3 Bushfire Prevention Activities Conducted by a Council FPO

Councils and Fire Prevention Officers undertake fire prevention activities as outlined in the [South Australian Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 \(Part 4A Division 2\)](#) and [Regulations 2005](#). Bushfire prevention activities undertaken by Fire Prevention Officer include:

- Assessing the extent of bushfire hazards within the relevant council area;
- Assisting the council in providing advice and information to any bushfire management committee whose area incorporates any part of the relevant council area in connection with the preparation or review of the committee's Bushfire Management Area Plan;
- Providing advice to owners of property in respect of bushfire prevention and management;
- Carrying out any other functions assigned to the Fire Prevention Officer by the regulations.

Further information can be found in the document [SACFS & LGA SA Sec 105 Fire Prevention Officer Policy](#)

12.4 Community Engagement, Education and Information

Community engagement and education include a variety of risk treatments ranging from passive information dissemination to active community development programs. These activities can be, and are, undertaken by many stakeholders (SACFS, MFS, local government, SAPOL, Primary Producers SA, other government agencies, private sector, Red Cross, community groups etc.).

Activities and programs can be designed to meet a variety of objectives ranging from awareness-raising to genuine behavioural change and need to be appropriate to the audience and their level of risk. Engagement programs may be resource intensive with outcomes dependent on the quality and the success of implementation and uptake. These activities are a social rather than physical risk treatment strategy and, as such, need to be developed and implemented for a target population, rather than for a physical asset. Therefore, these activities will not be quantifiable against an individual asset like other risk treatment strategies, but may be able to be evaluated for a nominated population.

BMA-wide engagement and information-based strategies (see Section 12.6) may be used to target the state-wide community, communities of interest and specific target populations (e.g. online community, industry sectors, tourists etc.). To ensure the best outcome and effective use of resources, any local treatments should coordinate with community, region and state-wide approaches of organisations and community. With regards to prioritising strategies, it is critical to note that research has proven that community safety engagement treatments are more successful when a collaborative whole-of-community approach is supported and maintained and coordinated with other works.

Research has also shown that information provision on its own, whilst important, does not lead to a sufficient level of planning and preparation for bushfires. Although community engagement is an integral strategy in risk mitigation (with the potential to increase individual awareness, lead to behavioural change and enhance disaster resilience), it can be difficult to evaluate success due to the transience and diversity of communities. Nonetheless, community engagement activities have the potential to achieve positive outcomes at both the individual (resident, household, etc.) and community level, provided they are co-ordinated, planned, well implemented and resourced appropriately.

In the BMAP process, specific risk treatment actions are determined by the identified treatment agencies during the implementation planning phase.

12.5 Landscape Treatment Investigation Areas (LTIA's)

In order to comply with National and State requirements for the management of vegetation to reduce bushfire risk and reduce specific landscape risks within a BMA, the Bushfire Management Planning process includes a step to identify any Landscape Treatment Investigation Areas as examples of a fire management approach for bushfire mitigation at a broader landscape scale.

Landscape Treatment Investigation Areas are identified as areas of strategic importance for bushfire suppression or for the protection of a cluster of assets. These areas require further assessment and consultation with stakeholders during the life of the BMAP to determine the most effective, acceptable and achievable fuel management strategies to provide strategic opportunities across the landscape. They may involve the mechanical removal of vegetation, use of prescribed burning, or weed management depending on operational practicality, environmental factors and ecological impacts.

The investigation areas that may be selected by a BMC aim to complement current risk treatment activities, linking areas of existing lower fuel to enhance the effectiveness of these treatments. The areas to be treated may aim to enhance suppression capability and therefore reduce the risk of fire moving between large or distinct areas of high fuel. Landscape risk treatments also aim to reduce the risk of a bushfire impacting asset clusters such as townships or human settlement areas rather than individual residences.

Any identified investigation areas do not represent a complete priority-based list of all potential landscape treatment investigation areas. Areas may be added, removed or amended following more detailed risk assessments and community consultation. Once an LTIA has been identified the site will be assessed by relevant experts (i.e. land managers, ecologists, fire planners) and recommendations provided to the BMC on proposed actions. The BMC will need to endorse these activities and a public consultation period undertaken with relevant stakeholders and the community.

Additional treatments may also be considered within and adjacent to these investigation areas such as community engagement or Operation Nomad activities.

All landscape risk treatment works are undertaken with consideration to all environmental and ecological issues and in accordance with required approvals such as the [Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999](#), and the [Native Vegetation Act 1991](#).

12.6 BMA-Wide Treatment Strategies

There are some risk treatment strategies that are applied across a BMA and the State. They are the overarching bushfire prevention and preparedness activities that occur annually within agencies, organisations and communities. They broadly address the bushfire risk to assets thereby reducing the overall level of bushfire risk to the BMA and State. Each of the treatment strategies will reduce either the likelihood element of the risk assessment process and/or the consequence element depending on the targeted outcome of the programme.

BMA-wide treatments are included in the BMAP text document and not entered into the risk register as they apply to large areas or an entire BMA rather than specific assets. They are strategies that address risk drivers such as causes of ignition, bushfire awareness and land use. Examples include Codes of Practice, Fire Danger Season restrictions and the annual bushfire awareness communications campaign.

12.7 Asset Specific Risk Treatment Allocation

Every asset recorded in the BRIMS database has a risk outcome that requires the allocation of treatment(s) to reduce the bushfire risk to the asset or justification for the acceptance of the risk. The overall bushfire risk level comprises four risk drivers, some or all of which can be treated to reduce the risk.

The four drivers include:

- Ignition Potential
- Fuel type and size to enable fire to establish and spread
- Separation distances and the impact of Radiant Heat
- Susceptibility due to asset type, inhabitants and fire resistant construction standards

The risk assessment process produces outcomes for each of these elements, which can indicate where and what should be treated to reduce the overall risk.

Many assets have existing treatments which are recorded in the Risk and Treatment Register. Additional proposed treatments can be allocated to assets with the aim of further reducing the bushfire risk. When adding proposed treatments for the reduction of bushfire risk, consideration is given to practicality, feasibility, environmental impact, and expense. The overall effectiveness of the treatment in reducing the risk is imperative in light of the overall outcome.

The BMPU collects treatment information at the workshops outlined in **Section 5.3**. Some Government Agencies and Organisations have consistent treatment strategies for their assets that exist across the State. These treatment(s) are allocated to the specific assets at the time of drafting the BMAPs. The treatments are reviewed by the local managers of the infrastructure to ensure the allocated treatment strategies are sufficient to address the risk. The gathering of this information may occur in localised meeting format with CFS BMPU and agency land managers rather than in workshops.

Assets with existing risk treatments in place, when assessed, can come out as a lower risk. If the risk treatments were abandoned due to the low risk outcome, the risk to the asset would increase over time, especially in the case of treatments that manage surrounding vegetation. To maintain the risk level outcome for such assets then these existing risk treatments should be maintained.

Workshops are held with the BMC or a Working Group of the BMC (**Section 5.3.2**) to review the risk levels and the treatments allocated to reduce the risk. At this point in the process, the BMC reviews the consistency of the treatment strategies and discusses whether or not the allocation of additional treatment(s) is required to appropriately reduce the risk.

Risk Treatment Strategies are selected based on the ability of the risk treatment to address one or more of the risk drivers.

13 DRAFT BMAP REVIEW AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION

A final draft BMAP consisting of a text document, an online map and a Risk & Treatment Register is provided to the BMC, who review the content of all three components with the aim of endorsing the draft BMAP for public consultation.

In most instances, the endorsement of a draft BMAP for public consultation requires a majority vote from a quorum of present and valid BMC members. The timing and methodologies utilised during the public consultation of the endorsed draft BMAP are discussed and negotiated prior to the voting and release for public comment.

13.1 Stakeholder and Public Comment Strategy

It is a statutory requirement for the purposes of the Fire & Emergency Services Act 2005 that, by public notice, the Draft Plan be made available for inspection for a stipulated six week period.

The BMC is to approve the external distribution of the draft and to ensure that significant stakeholders and parties that register their interest during the development of the BMAP are notified of the Draft Plan release.

The release of the Draft Plan for public comment will be advertised through relevant print and electronic media and will include information on how and where to obtain a copy, the closing date for submissions and contact details for further information.

A range of consultation strategy options are tabled with a BMC for their consideration.

These include but are not limited to:

- Media (print & radio)
- Social Media
- Posters
- Static displays
- Public meetings
- Guest speaker at existing meetings

The BMPU provides guidance for BMC decision making for this process and use of appropriate community engagement strategies.

Submissions and feedback are invited from interested parties to provide information to the BMC on any particular issues in relation to the Draft BMAP for further consideration by the committee.

13.2 Draft BMAP Submissions

Submissions on a draft BMAP can be made verbally or in writing. A template form is made available for community members wishing to make a submission. This is made available in hard or downloadable copy and as an electronic web form.

The Executive Officer of a BMC ensures that all submissions are provided to the BMC for consideration. Where a comment or submission is received and the public consultation period has concluded then it is at the committee's discretion if the comment is considered. Submissions become part of the public record and available to anyone who requests a copy unless the submitter specifically requests otherwise.

A BMC should consider making amendments to the Plan when a comment:

- Provides additional information of direct relevance and/or strategies to promote the bushfire management activities across the State.
- Indicates or clarifies a change in government legislation, management commitment or management policy.
- Improves the ability to promote effective bushfire management planning across the State.
- Indicates omissions, inaccuracies or a lack of clarity in the plan.

A BMC should not consider making amendments to the plan when a comment:

- Addresses issues beyond the scope of either the SBMP or BMAP.
- Proposes amendments already in place in either the SBMP or BMAP.
- The proposed amendment does not promote the coordination of policies, practices and strategies relating to bushfire management activities within the relevant area.
- Is based on incorrect information.
- The proposed amendment is in direct conflict to the *Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005* and any other relevant plans and policies and Acts.
- A repetition of previous comment that was considered and dismissed.

The BMC will ensure appropriate feedback and responses are provided or publicly available to individuals and entities who have made a submission during the consultation process. This will include information regarding acceptance or rejection of a proposed amendment and reasoning for the decision.

BMPU assists with making any amendments to a BMAP that are agreed upon by a BMC and also assist by drafting submission response letters for BMC consideration. Draft response letters to submissions are reviewed by the relevant BMC prior to approval, signed by the BMC Chair and issued by the BMC Executive Officer.

14 BMAP APPROVAL PROCESS

Following the public consultation period and review of submissions a formal governance process is undertaken that enables a BMAP to advance from 'Draft' to 'Approved'. This process involves both the BMC and the SBCC. Should a BMC endorse a draft BMAP, it then advances to the SBCC for approval consideration. The steps which need to be undertaken are outlined in the sections below.

14.1 BMC Endorsement for Approval

The process for a BMC to endorse a BMAP for approval requires the following steps to be completed;

- BMC members are required to review all elements of the BMAP for accuracy
- BMC members are to review all submissions
- Any suggested changes or corrections will be made as per the information in **Section 14.2**
- BMC to provide written responses to submitters
- BMC to complete **Template: Seeking Approval of a Bushfire Management Area Plan** (Related document)

14.2 SBCC Approval Process

The SBCC undertake a governance role in approving a BMAP. The template for approval covers a quality assurance methodology that insures all aspects of legislation are met and that the SBCC are provided with all relevant information from which to make an informed decision.

The SBCC need to be satisfied that the following points have been undertaken and to an appropriate standard:

- The Plan has been drafted to the required standard
- All required consultation has been undertaken
- All submissions in relation to the plan have been considered
- The Chief Officer of the SACFS and the Chief Officer of the SAMFS have been consulted prior to seeking approval of the Plan.

A BMAP, and any amendments to a BMAP, have no force or effect until approved by the State Bushfire Coordination Committee. In considering the approval of a BMAP the *Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005* provides the following options for the SBCC:

- Approve the BMAP or amendment as presented to them; or
- Consult the relevant BMC about any amendment to a proposed BMAP or amendment that the SBCC considers necessary, and then approve the BMAP or suggest appropriate changes to the amendment.
- Refer the BMAP amendment back to the relevant BMC for further consideration

Approved BMAPs are publicly available and accessible through the SACFS website.

15 TREATMENT IMPLEMENTATION AND REPORTING

Once the BMAP has been approved by the SBCC, risk managers/owners work through how, and if, treatment strategies and their associated actions can, or will, be implemented over the 4 years of the BMAP.

The major stages in the implementation and reporting for an approved BMAP are displayed in **Figure 11**:




Figure 11 Treatment Implementation Processes

Agencies that have been identified as having a level of responsibility for undertaking risk treatments are provided with lists and/or maps displaying their identified risk treatments. These resources are used to develop Action Implementation Plans (AIPs).

Each of the BMC member agencies is expected to prepare an initial 4 year AIP to address the strategies defined in the BMAP for their area of responsibility.

Risk Treatment plans are presented to BMCs to consider the overall consistency of application of risk treatment strategies. Data collected from agencies during the annual treatment reporting process will provide information so that BMCs can assess the effectiveness of prevention activities and where amendments or additional input may be required. Annual review processes aim to identify any deficiencies in the BMAP.

Non-BMC member organisations with responsibility for significant bushfire management works may also be asked to prepare similar AIPs at the discretion of a BMC/SBCC. This process may also be triggered by outcomes from a BMA-wide risk assessment workshop.

15.1 Implementation Processes

Action Implementation Plans (AIPs) may be developed by the risk managers/owners to work through how, and if, treatment strategies and their associated actions can or will be implemented over the 4 years of the BMAP. AIPs should be integrated within budget cycles, works and maintenance schedules and management processes of the agency or organisation. AIPs should also consider any relevant approvals, assessments and permits to allow the agency to undertake the work. AIPs may be developed collaboratively by multiple agencies where treatment strategies cross different land tenures or require various agencies to collaborate on delivery. AIPs may also involve community consultation where appropriate in line with good practice.

AIPs, or relevant elements of AIPs such as treatments that are not able to be implemented, should be presented to the BMC for review of alignment with the BMAP. Where responsible agencies identify that they are unable to implement risk treatment strategies at the Implementation Planning stage, then the BMC should review these items from the perspective of the whole BMA and discuss alternative options for addressing the risk.

The majority of risk treatment strategies and actions identified within a BMAP are undertaken on an annual basis and this information is held in BRIMs. Therefore where treatment actions are undertaken annually, AIPs for the purposes of a BMC require no further information than that already contained within the Risk and Treatment Register unless any of these treatments cannot be carried out or need to be amended. When this is the case these items should be brought to the attention of the BMC to consider alternative strategies, funding sources etc. Any data entry errors identified during this time should be brought to the attention of the BMC Executive Officer who will provide these required corrections to BMPU. A summary of these corrections will also be provided to the BMC. Additional details such as the exact timing of an action, equipment required, etc. would be captured within an agency's works plans and is not required by the BMC. An online map that displays treatment strategy information can be made available to agencies so that this information can be easily reviewed and can also be provided to the BMC in a format that allows for BMC decision making.

Where risk treatment strategies are not undertaken annually, agencies may require additional time to develop AIPs, particularly those where multiple agencies have been identified as having a level of responsibility for either undertaking the risk treatment or having input on its delivery. Considerable collaboration between these agencies will be needed over the long term for a successful outcome.

Resources that display the relevant treatment information in a suitable format are provided to these agencies to enable decision making. A four year AIP of these treatments should be tabled with the relevant BMC that indicates which agency will be reporting on the implementation of the treatment, in most instances this will be the agency undertaking the majority of the on-ground works.

AIPs are reported on annually at the end of financial year as part of SBCC reporting requirements for BMCs.

Specific to implementation plans are the following responsibilities.

15.1.1 SBCC

The State Bushfire Coordination Committee is responsible for:

- Requesting reports from Bushfire Management Committees on the progress of the implementation of its plan
- Addressing reports from Bushfire Management Committees that indicate any failures or delays in relation to the implementation of a Bushfire Management Plan

15.1.2 BMC

The Bushfire Management Committee is responsible for:

- Overseeing the implementation of its Bushfire Management Area Plan
- Reporting to the State Bushfire Coordination Committee or the Minister any failure or delay in relation to the implementation of its plan
- Establishing an Implementation Sub-Committee where ongoing and specific attention to implementation issues cannot otherwise be effectively managed by the Bushfire Management Committee
- Developing a plan for implementation in conjunction with those responsible for undertaken the works,
- Advising land owners, land managers, organisations and agencies in regards to bushfire management plan implementation
- Collaborating with identified stakeholders to identify a lead agency where required to develop a plan for implementation.

A treatment agency retains their obligations for the implementation of Treatment Strategies when they engage or utilise a third party (by agreement, contract or other means). Action Implementation Plans are not required to be sanctioned by the Bushfire Management Committee to make them valid.

16 BMAP MONITORING AND REPORTING

Monitoring and review are integral parts of the risk assessment process. Reporting ensures transparency and accountability and allows the BMC to have oversight over activities within a BMA. Monitoring the implementation of risk treatments identified by a BMC in a BMAP will identify any amendments that need to be addressed as well as potentially identifying any emerging risks or changes to the risk environment.

Matters affecting bushfire management planning should be monitored to ensure changes are noted and the matters are reviewed to understand any potential effects. The results must be reported to ensure that appropriate actions are undertaken.

Reporting matters that should be monitored by BMC members include any changes in:

- Legislation, Standards, Policies, Codes of Practice, Standards, Enquiries and Reports
- Responsibilities and Functions of Committee
- Boundaries – BMA boundaries, Local Government boundaries, SA Government Boundaries, protection areas, development zones
- Bushfire Management Plans - Implementation success and failures, residual risk, review Intervals
- Land management practices
- Attributes of communities and assets that influence bushfire risk
- Local climatic or environmental conditions that influence bushfire risk.

16.1 BMAP Implementation Reporting

Under the FES Act, BMCs are required to report on any failures to implement an identified BMAP treatment. Circumstances that delay, prevent or inhibit the implementation of a treatment strategy should be reported to the BMC.

The reasons for failures to implement an identified BMAP treatment may include, but are not limited to:

- Lack of financial and human resources
- Inclement weather and/or climate
- Changes to risk criteria
- Changes to work specifications

When reporting a failure to complete treatment(s) the reasons for the failure and alternative strategies should be considered. Alternatives that should be considered may include:

- Support or assistance from another land owner, land manager, organisation or agency
- An alternative treatment strategy or action
- Additional funding (internal, external such as a grant)
- Reconsideration of the risk acceptance level

Where all alternatives to implement a treatment strategy have been exhausted then it shall be reported to the BMC and subsequently the SBCC.

Reporting on BMAP implementation will be a two-tiered process with the first report being the adoption of agency Action Implementation Plans by the BMC as soon as practicable after the approval of the BMAP. The subsequent reports will be compiled annually and focus on the status of the treatment actions.

BMC members should report by the end of June each year on the status of their works to the BMC. The BMC Executive Officer collates this information and provides a report about BMAP implementation to the SBCC by the end of July. An online reporting tool and checklist audit template to enable this to easily and efficiently occur is under development.

The SBCC should consider the strategic state wide ramifications of treatments that have not been implemented and assess if other state-based activities need to be undertaken.

The SBCC Annual report consists of a combination of SBCC and BMC activities and the BMAP implementation reports. This report is presented to both the SACFS Chief Officer and the Minister of Emergency Services.

17 BMAP UPDATES AND AMENDMENTS

Over a period of time there may be changes in matters that have an influence on Bushfire Management Planning. Where these matters are brought to the attention of a BMC, through monitoring or by a submission, then a review of the matters should be conducted.

A submission to amend a BMAP may be submitted by any person, agency or organisation at any time. The Executive Officer shall acknowledge in writing the receipt of a submission to amend the plan. The submission and a draft of the proposed changes should be tabled at the next BMC meeting and considered by the committee.

A BMAP may be amended under the following circumstances:

- At the Direction of the Minister or the SBCC
- The BMAP is no longer consistent with any plan, policy or strategy prepared or adopted under a State or Federal Act including the *Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005*
- Information within the BMAP has been superseded by more reliable or up to date information
- A significant change in the landscape or bushfire risk has occurred or is likely to occur
- Significant changes, inclusions or exclusion of assets considered in the BMAP have occurred or are likely to occur
- A variation in the boundary of the BMA has occurred
- A proposition to amend a BMAP has been received.
- The BMAP has been reviewed

Where an amendment is made to a BMAP the BMC may need to make reasonable steps to consult with:

- the SBCC,
- any SACFS organisation specified by the Chief Officer of SACFS,
- a public sector agency (within the meaning of the *Public Sector Act 2009*) designated by the Minister (i.e. members of the BMC),
- any council whose area is wholly or partly within the relevant BMA
- any regional NRM board whose region is wholly or partly within the relevant BMA, and
- any other person or body.

The BMC is to consent to the release for public comment the draft proposal to amend their BMAP. The consultation period should run for a period not less than 6 weeks as specified in the *Fire and Emergency Services Regulations 2005*.

Although the length of time for public consultation is prescriptive, the timing and style of public engagement strategies used is a decision for the BMC. It is imperative that sufficient media avenues and other consultation forums are used to capture interest from the BMA's broad public community and to ensure individuals and organisations have the opportunity to view the draft BMAP, ask questions and easily provide feedback.

BMCs are presented with two options for the timing of public consultation and the flow chart below (**Figure 12**) displays the decision making process for a BMC:




Figure 12 Major and Minor Amendment Flowchart

Option 1; **Major Amendment** - The six week public consultation period commences at a time deemed appropriate by the BMC with localised consultation options. This option is best suited to amendments that could generate community concern and/or interest.

Option 2: **Minor Amendment** - A summary of amendments for each approved BMAP must be displayed for six weeks at the end of financial year on the SACFS website and/or other appropriate avenues. The public will become familiar with a regular update process to avoid consultation fatigue. This option is best suited for simple amendments which are unlikely to generate community concern and/or interest.

A template form (BMAP Amendment Details and Approvals Form) is to be utilised by the EO and BMPU to document suggested amendments and the decision making concerning a Minor or Major amendment.

The BMC will advise the SBCC via an executive summary of the outcome of any amendments and any public consultation arrangements.

18 AUDITING OF PLANNING PROCESS

Bushfire Management Planning aims to minimise the potential of bushfires occurring, and when they do occur, to reduce, control or mitigate its impacts. Bushfire Management committees have legislated duties, objectives and goals to achieve. This process is governed by the *South Australian Fire and Emergency Services Act, 2005*.

71A—Functions of State Bushfire Coordination Committee

- (1) *The State Bushfire Coordination Committee has the following functions:*
- (a) *to advise the Minister on bushfire prevention in the country and in designated urban bushfire risk areas;*
 - (b) *to advise the Minister on matters related to bushfire management;*
 - (c) *as far as is reasonably practicable—to promote the State-wide coordination and integration of policies, practices and strategies relating to bushfire management activities;*
 - (d) *to provide guidance, direction and advice to bushfire management committees and to resolve any issues that may arise between 2 or more bushfire management committees;*
 - (e) *to prepare, and to keep under review, the State Bushfire Management Plan and to keep under review the extent to which—*
 - (i) *Bushfire Management Area Plans; and*
 - (ii) *policies, practices and strategies adopted or applied by bushfire management committees,**are consistent with the State Bushfire Management Plan;*
 - (f) *to oversee the implementation of the State Bushfire Management Plan and to report to the Minister on any failure or delay in relation to the implementation of the plan;*
 - (g) *to prepare, or initiate the development of, other plans, policies, practices, codes of practice or strategies to promote effective bushfire management within the State;*
 - (h) *to convene forums to discuss bushfire management issues, and to promote public awareness of the need to ensure proper bushfire management within the State;*
 - (i) *at the request of the Minister, or on its own initiative, to provide a report on any matter relevant to bushfire management;*
 - (j) *to carry out any other function assigned to the State Bushfire Coordination Committee under this or any other Act or by the Minister.*

71E—Annual reports

The State Bushfire Coordination Committee must, on or before 31 August in each year, provide to SACFS a report on the activities of the State Bushfire Coordination Committee and each bushfire management committee during the preceding financial year (and need not provide a report under the Public Sector Act 2009).

Legislation establishes a relationship through this process where State and Regional committees manage risk and increase the likelihood that established objectives and goals to protect life, property and environment will be achieved. Like the State, the Regional committees have legislative responsibilities.

72B—Functions of bushfire management committees

- (1) A bushfire management committee has the following functions:*
 - (a) to advise the State Bushfire Coordination Committee on bushfire prevention in its area;*
 - (b) to promote the coordination of policies, practices and strategies relating to bushfire management activities within its area;*
 - (c) to prepare, and to keep under review, a Bushfire Management Area Plan for its area, and to ensure that this plan is consistent with the State Bushfire Management Plan;*
 - (d) to oversee the implementation of its Bushfire Management Area Plan and to report to the State Bushfire Coordination Committee or, if it thinks fit, to the Minister, on any failure or delay in relation to the implementation of the plan;*
 - (e) to prepare, or initiate the development of, other plans, policies, practices or strategies to promote effective bushfire management within its area;*
 - (f) to convene local or regional forums to discuss issues associated with bushfire management within its area, and to work with local communities to promote and improve effective bushfire management;*
 - (g) at the request of the Minister or the State Bushfire Coordination Committee, or on its own initiative, to provide a report on any matter relevant to bushfire management within its area;*
 - (h) to carry out any other function assigned to the bushfire management committee under this or any other Act, by the Minister or by the State Bushfire Coordination Committee.*

A Bushfire Management Area Plan (BMAP), organises, and directs the performance of sufficient actions to provide reasonable assurance that objectives and goals will be achieved. The legislation provides direction under **Division 71A-Bushfire Management Plans (FES Act 2005)**.

73—State Bushfire Management Plan

(1) The State Bushfire Coordination Committee must prepare and maintain a plan to be called the State Bushfire Management Plan.

The primary purpose of the plan is to identify major bushfire risks in the State and recommend appropriate action that will provide protection to life, property and the environment from the effects of bushfires.

The State Bushfire Coordination Committee must review the plan at least once in every 4 years.

The plan, and any amendments to the plan, have no force or effect until approved by the Minister after consultation with the Chief Officer of SACFS and the Chief Officer of SAMFS.

73A—Bushfire Management Area Plans

(1) Each bushfire management committee must prepare and maintain a Bushfire Management Area Plan for its area. The plan must set out a scheme for bushfire management within its area.

A bushfire management committee must review its plan—

- (a) at least once in every 4 years; or*
- (b) at the direction of the State Bushfire Coordination Committee.*

There is a requirement to assure a level of confidence that the obligations indicated above are achieved while conforming to the planning process. The following should be provided by the BMC to the SBCC as a minimum to guarantee conformity to the legislative requirements;

- Confirmation of the membership of Bushfire Management Committees as specified in the FESA
- Confirmation of the frequency of meetings of the Bushfire Management committees
- Confirmation of the establishment of a Bushfire Management Area Plan for its area.
- The Plan has been reviewed annually, incorporating any new risks identified and existing actions that have been identified have been completed.

A checklist audit tool is being developed to report on the obligations of the legislation providing a level of confidence that objectives have been achieved within an acceptable level of risk or reasons to the contrary.

19 FORMAL FOUR YEARLY BMAP REVIEW PROCESS

Each BMAP is required by legislation to be formally reviewed every four years. As BMC's are required to monitor and report on the implementation of its BMAP on an ongoing basis the body of work to review a BMAP should not be as onerous as the development of the initial BMAP. The formal review process does however give the BMC the opportunity to scrutinise the information contained in their BMAP and identify opportunities for improvements.

The review process should begin prior to the end of the 4 year period allowing sufficient time to draft a new BMAP prior to the expiry of the current BMAP. The steps outlined in **Figure 13** below guide the BMC on the review process.




Figure 13 Formal BMAP Review Process

Related documents

Overview: 2018 SBCC BMC Guidelines, Terms of Reference and Templates

Policy: Bushfire Safer Places

Procedure: Public Consultation for Draft Bushfire Management Area Plans and amendments

Template: Public Consultation strategies options template

Template: Seeking Approval of a Bushfire Management Area Plan

Procedure: Amendment to an approved Bushfire Management Area Plan (2 documents)

Template: BMAP Amendment Details and Approvals Form

Template: BMAP Checklist Audit template

Guideline: Standard for the identification and risk assessment of South Australian environmental assets from bushfire

Glossary and Acronyms

Name	Description
Agencies	Refers to any State or Federal government entity or privately owned organisation that is the manager or owner of the land or asset.
AIRS	Australian Incident Reporting System
APZ	Asset Protection Zone
AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009	AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 Risk Management - Principles and Guidelines. The agreed international standard that dictates the fundamental principles behind risk management.
Asset	A term used to describe anything of value within communities that may be impacted by bushfire. This may include residential areas, infrastructure, commercial, environmental, heritage and community valued sites.
Asset Owner and/or Land Manager	The owner occupier or custodian responsible for the care or management of an asset. The responsibility may be defined by ownership, lease or contract. Also refer to the Fire and Emergency Service Act 2005 for more information.
Asset Risk Treatment Strategies	Strategies allocated to modify the bushfire risk to specific assets that have been assessed. Asset risk treatment strategies are allocated to mitigate individual risks within the BMAP Treatment Register and are designed to mitigate specific elements of the risk i.e. the radiant heat, susceptibility of the asset to spark and embers, the intensity of the bushfire and/or the potential of a bushfire starting and establishing. Asset risk treatment strategies are to be undertaken by asset owners and/or land managers and will be documented in an implementation plan which documents how the work will be undertaken.
BAL	Bushfire Attack Level
BBZ	Bushfire Buffer Zone
BMA	Bushfire Management Area as proclaimed by the Governor under Section 72 of the <i>Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005</i> . Bushfire Management Areas are typically defined by Council boundaries.
BMAP	Bushfire Management Area Plan as defined under S73A of the <i>Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005</i> .
BMC	Bushfire Management Committee as defined under the <i>Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005</i> S72A.
BOM	Bureau of Meteorology
BRIMS	Bushfire Risk Information Management System - A systematic process that identifies assets at risk from bushfire, assesses the level of risk, captures current and proposed treatments, treatment owners and time frames for implementation, and provides a framework for continuous review and monitoring of the risks and their treatments.
Bushfire Hazard	The vegetation that poses a level of threat to human life, economic and cultural assets or ecological assets. The potential severity of a bushfire threat is determined by fuel load, fuel arrangement and topography under a given climatic condition.

Name	Description
Bushfire Risk	The concept of risk has three elements: a) the likelihood of a bushfire igniting and spreading to the hazard adjacent to and threatening an asset; b) the observable event of the hazardous vegetation igniting and c) the consequences to the asset from a bushfire event.
Consequence	The term “Consequence” for the purpose of this plan, means “what will happen to the asset if it is impacted by a bushfire?” For example will it burn down, will it cease to function, will people be injured etc.? The elements that contribute to the consequence of a bushfire are the Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) (measuring the radiant heat) and either the susceptibility of occupants in human settlement assets or susceptibility of built structures. The outcome or impact of damage to the asset from a bushfire event occurring.
DEW	Department for Environment and Water
FBD	Fire Ban District
FDI	Fire Danger Index
FDS	Fire Danger Season
FES Act	<i>Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005</i>
FMP	Fire Management Plan – The plans that are produced by the Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources for the management of their reserves and Crown lands for bushfire risk reduction works and ecological management.
FPO	Fire Prevention Officer as defined in the FES Act.
Land Manager	The person, organisation or agency responsible for the care or management of an asset or land. The responsibility may be defined by ownership, lease or contract. Also refer to the Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 Section 3 for additional clarification. The person, organisation or Agency responsible for the care or management of an asset or land. The responsibility may be defined by ownership, lease or contract. Also refer to the Fire and Emergency Service Act 2005. For BMAPs the term Asset Owner and/or Land Manager is used - this is to convey that it is the owner or the person managing the asset or land is responsible for certain actions.
Landscape Treatment Strategies	The overarching bushfire prevention and preparedness activities that are generally undertaken by agencies, organisations and communities. They broadly address the bushfire risk to assets and, thereby, reduce the overall level of bushfire risk to the Region and State. Each of the treatment strategies will reduce either the likelihood and/or the consequence of the bushfire depending on the targeted outcomes of the programme.
Likelihood	The chance or cause of a bushfire igniting and spreading to the hazard adjacent to and threatening an asset.
Prescribed Burning	Prescribed burning is the planned application of fire under prescribed environmental conditions and within defined boundaries to achieve fuel hazard reduction management for bushfire risk mitigation and to achieve ecological, land management and research objectives.
Risk Elements	The parts of the risk. The Risk comprises of the likelihood and the consequence of a bushfire impacting for each of the identified assets. ELEMENTS - Risk elements may be comprised of more than one element such as for likelihood there are two elements: (1) potential ignition sources and (2) ability to establish, spread and intensity. These may be referred to as elements.
Risk Register	The Risk Register is an element of the total BRIMS data base that is managed by the Bushfire Management Unit within SACFS. The risk register identifies the assets and their risk rating within a bushfire context.

Name	Description
Risk Treatment Strategies	A Risk Treatment Strategy – Provides direction in developing an appropriate plan to mitigate the likelihood and impact of bushfires on assets and the wider community.
SACFS	South Australian Country Fire Service
SAPOL	South Australian Police
SBCC	State Bushfire Coordination Committee as defined under the <i>Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005</i> , s71.
Treatment Implementation Plan	A plan that is developed by those responsible for undertaking the Treatment Strategies.



Government
of South Australia